**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0951239 DA	TE: <u>10/16/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:30AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: WE	EST ORANGE READY-MIX PLA	ANT	
FACILITY LOCATION	12601 AVALON RD		
	WINTER GARDEN 34	787	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: SI Email:	<b>D REPRESENTATIVE:</b> SIGU IGURD BO*	RD BO* PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)312-7119
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>DD:</b> 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date)		

## **Facility Section**

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)

☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         Name(s) of facility representative(s): Leon Demps	(check 🗹 box for each	2
	Brief Notes: <u>Plant Manager</u>		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO*?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO*? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

		1
<ul> <li>PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u></li> <li>1. Date of last inspection: <u>9/7/2011</u></li> </ul>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection. <u>JAN2011</u></li> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:         <ul> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li></ul></li></ol>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/2011</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>32.10</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo cor		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
<ul><li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>35.70</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	∐ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to $I$	Yes	🛛 No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	ector	
<ul><li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li></ul>		🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

	ART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         Date of last inspection:       9/7/2011	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
∠.	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	$\square$ Yes	=
l		105	🛛 No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? X N/A	T Yes	□ No
l	d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/2011		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes	□ No
	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>34.95</u> tons/hour		
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? $\square$ N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		$\square$ No
ł	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Xes	🗌 No
l	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
	If flot, what was the problem (if known).		
			l
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each of	•
		007 101 each	question
		<u></u>	
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	□ No
l	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes	No No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con-		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A – silo not load		vection.
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	· 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? 27.0 tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🖾 No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		∐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat	te and	<b>—</b>
	duration?		No No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu		
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		□ NT.
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	? 🛛 Yes	No No
2	<ul><li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li></ul>	? 🛛 Yes es	
2.	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	? 🛛 Yes es. 🖾 Yes	No
2.	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute <b>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</b> a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	? 🛛 Yes es. 🖾 Yes	
2.	<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	? ⊠ Yes es. ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
2.	<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	? ⊠ Yes es. ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	No
2.	<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	? ⊠ Yes es. ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No

3 – CCB Plant-split silo #2, comp #1(cement), w/silotop	baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit
---	--------------------------------------

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{9/7/2011}{2011}$		1 .
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		-
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes Yes	D No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $9/7/2011$	-	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes Yes	No No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes	□ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>33.9</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		ļ
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? $\square$ N/A	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	$\bigtriangledown$ v <sub>es</sub>	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		ļ
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(alta alta 🗹	· .1mo
		only one
enciosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	juestion)
		I
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con	ducted at a ra	te
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? $26.50$ tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🖂 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to $F$		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		No No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		□ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
I. I) II ellissions nom me weign nopper (bacher) operation are controlled by a dust concertor when	1	
from the sile dust collector, was the visible amissions test of the weigh honnor (batcher) dust colle		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	🛛 Yes	No No
<ul><li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li></ul>	S. Yes	_
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes s. ⊠ Yes	D No
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	S. Yes	_
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes s. ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	D No No
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes s. ⊠ Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes s. ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	D No No

- CODT and Spit Sho #2 (comp #2 (comp), #Bhotop Sughouse Subject to C / Coput		
PART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1.       Date of last inspection:       9/7/2011	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/2011</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>31.7</u> tons/hour</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?         If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	Xes Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>c. Did the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the inglest six-influte average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
<ul><li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>35.60</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	🔀 Yes	∐ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to $R$	$\square$ Yes <i>h</i> .	🛛 No
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat</li> </ol>	Yes	🗌 No
duration?	∐ Yes tes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No

5 -CCB Plant-silo #3	6 (flyash/slag)	), w/silotop baghouse sul	bject to 5% Opacity Limit
----------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: $9/7/2011$		question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	Yes	
d. Date of last VE test: $9/7/2011$		∐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	l No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🖂 Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>39.0</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	T Yes	$\bowtie$ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Yes Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	-
	box for each	question
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	Xes	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	IXI Yes	No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
<ul><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Xes Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Xes Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes nducted at a rated during insp	I No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes nducted at a rated during insp	No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a rated during insp ✓ Yes</li> </ul>	I No nate pection. No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a rated during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> </ul>	I No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes         nducted at a railed during insp         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a railed during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> </ul>	I No nate pection. No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a rated during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>te and</li> </ul>	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a railed during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>te and</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		□ No ate bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{ c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Huttiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Huttiple \\ \hline & Yuttiple \\$	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{ c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Huttiple \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Huttiple \\ \hline & Yuttiple \\$	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a ratled during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>te and</li> <li>↓ Yes</li> <li>tes</li> <li>n is separate</li> <li>ector</li> </ul>	I No I No No No No No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		□ No ate bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes Yes$ nducted at a ra led during insp $\boxtimes Yes$ $\square Yes$ h. $\square Yes$ te and $\square Yes$ tes n is separate ector $\boxtimes Yes$ es.	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes Yes$ nducted at a railed during insp $\boxtimes Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h. \qquad Yes$ te and $\square Yes$ tes i is separate ector $\boxtimes Yes$ es. $\boxtimes Yes$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes Yes$ nducted at a railed during insp $\boxtimes Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h. \qquad Yes$ te and $\square Yes$ tes i is separate ector $\boxtimes Yes$ es. $\boxtimes Yes$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{ c c } & Yes \\ \hline \end{array} $	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{ c c } & Yes \\ \hline \end{array} $	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{ c c } & Yes \\ \hline \end{array} $	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1. Date of last inspection: 9/7/2011	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	V.	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/2011		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? X N/A</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contrast that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	i res	🛛 No
<ul> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> <li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li> </ul>	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to (1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?		🗌 No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	-	
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ol>		🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	X Yes	🗌 No
·		

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1. Date of last inspection: 9/7/2011         2. Det Vielbe Excision (VE) tests	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	☐ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/2011</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> </ul>		□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	X Yes	🗌 No
DADT H. STACK EMISSIONS from a sile maint homew(hotshow) or other		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		Dection.
<ul> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> <li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li> </ul>	Yes	🖂 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h	
<ul> <li>2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate representative of the normal batching rate representation?</li></ul>	ate and	
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	utes	No No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>		

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: $9/7/2011$	001111	4.00
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		ļ
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	TYes	🖾 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? X/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $9/7/2011$	_	_
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🛛 No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		ļ
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	$\square$ Yes	$\bowtie$ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
	DUX IUI Cacity	question
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		ļ
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	-	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		ite
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A – silo not load		pection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.
<ul><li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li></ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes ie and ☐ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes ie and ☐ Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes re and ☐ Yes tes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li><i>h</i>.</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li><i>e</i> and</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li><i>tes</i></li> <li><i>is separate</i></li> </ul>	□ No ⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes Yes h. Yes e and Yes tes is separate ector	□ No ⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>tes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>Xes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>tes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>⊠ Yes</li> <li>es</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ e and $ $ Yes $ $ tes $ $ is separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ e and $ $ Yes $ $ tes $ $ is separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ e and $ $ Yes $ $ is separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	Yes $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ e and $ $ Yes $ $ is separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1. Date of last inspection: 9/7/2011	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	$\square$ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	105	
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $\frac{9/7/2011}{1}$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes Yes	No No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🛛 No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🖾 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		_
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Xes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each c	•
		lucition
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	□ No
	105	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	I res	□ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con	ducted at a ra	ta
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not loade	ducted at a ra	le
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to h		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		∐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	and	
		No No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		<b>—</b>
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		∐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No No
		No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🖂 Yes	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	X Yes	
	X Yes	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		_

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🛛 Yes - 🖂 Yes	No No No No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exceptio units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>		No
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i> )	box for each ing question 2.	-
<ul> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)</li> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	Ves	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation po and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
<ul> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usay If YES, what was the purpose?</li> <li>b. Ware records that by the owner/concreter to indicate how long it was</li> </ul>	ge)? 📋 Yes	L No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		D No No
CHANGES A desinistrative Changes	(check ☑ box for each	
CHANGES         Administrative Changes:         1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions upper sector of the facility of the	box for each tative not	
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each tative not units or Yes	
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol></li></ul>	box for each tative not inits or Yes Yes	n question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each tative not mits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol></li></ul>	box for each tative not inits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	a question) □ No □ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

12/31/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes, with OCEPD, audited a compliance test that was conducted on 10/16/2012. Persons present during the compliance test were Leon Demps, Plant Manager, representing Cemex, and Zachary Beatty, representing Beatty Environmental Services, LLC, the consultant. Nine visible emission units were observed: EU-001-Split silo #1/compartment #1 (cement)(westmost silo), EU-002-Split silo #1/compartment #2 (cement) (easternmost), EU-003-Split silo #2/compartment #1 (cement) (Easternmost baghouse), EU-004-Split silo #2/compartment #2 (cement), EU-005-Plant silo #3 (flyash/slag)(middle silo), EUs-006&008/weigh hopper #1 & truck loadout #1, and EUs-007 & 009/weigh hopper #2 and /truck loadout #2. All emission points had observed opacities of 0%, and all loading rates were acceptable. The yard is dusty, however water is used to control the dust. No new equipment has been installed or modified, since the last inspection.