

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMP	T/DISCOVERY (CI) PLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0951239 DATE: <u>12/29/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:50</u>	<u>DPM</u> DEPART: <u>3:00 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: Cemex Construction Materials-WEST ORANGE REA	ADY-MIX PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 12601 AVALON RD						
WINTER GARDEN 34787						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO Email: CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (407)841-8409 Mobile: (407)312-7119 PHONE: (407)841-8409 Mobile: (407)312-7119					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mike Hagmaier/Plant Manager Brief Notes: 407-283-6425	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 day 3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-split silo #2, comp #2(cement), w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/12/2009 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No
	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		⊠ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	 c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 32.6 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?			 No No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the past 5 years?	ne/yr		? ⊠ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	•		only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🏻 🔻	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_ - M '	Yes	No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Y		□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing	box for each g plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.	* ′
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix ce soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.	Yes c below.)	□ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Depa e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one bu b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocat 	siness day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	five business days following a relocation? Yes on Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program a	at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emis		
	routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate h	now long it was Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in de	uration? Yes	∐ No □ No
CTT 1 3 7 CTC		
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone in the name, address,	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone rassociated with a change in ownership or with a physica	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not l relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not 1 relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not 1 relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not l relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners! 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not l relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not l relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip: Yes olacement?	h question) No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners! 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not I relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without reposition. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment to d. A change in ownership?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not I relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without reposition. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment to d. A change in ownership?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not I relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not 1 relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each number of the facility or authorized representative not I relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes in 30 days of the change? Yes hip:	h question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy met with Noah A. Handley of Arlington Environmental Services on 12/29/2010 to audit the compliance test on the last emission unit, EU004. This emission unit had an observed opacity of zero percent. The loading rate was 33 tph. The yard was paved and swept. Wind breaks are around the aggregate piles and the water sprinklers were on. No objectionable odors were detected. No unconfined or uncontrolled emissions were observed. There was no batching done during this compliance test since it was conducted late in the day.