	IBITIAL PROTECTION	Sec.
Comes.	1	Cane
FLO	RIDA	
The	KIDA	- Ale

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112519 DATE FACILITY NAME: PREM	IIERE BODY STOP, NC.	ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>	DEPART: <u>1455</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION:	5001 NORTH FEDERAI POMPANO BEACH 33			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	L: Brian Shiavone	PHONE:	(954)421-6464	
CONTACT NAME: Same		PHONE:	(
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 12/31/2005 (effective date)	/ 12/31/2010 (end date)	
[
PART I: INSPECTION CO	OMPLIANCE STATUS (che		Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check $\overline{\square}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray	y coating equipment to	o ensure effective application	with a minimum of overspray?	Yes 🗌 No

b)	monitoring the c	coating thick	ness to avoi	d excessive coatin	ng?	 	 	⊠Yes	1	No

c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Xes 🗌 No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Xes 🗌 No

d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?------e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

implementing management practices to reduce v o o emissions during cleanup og.	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	- 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\overline{\Box}$ No

3) using water based cleaners?----- 🖾 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? 	out replacement? \[Yes \[No ly different than that noted on the most
Art Pennetta	1/11/11
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	N/A
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Paint usage is less than 15gal/week. This facility does not need a GP.