WHERTAL PROTECTION
John Manne
FLORIDA
FLORIDA

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0830004 DATE: <u>9/18/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:15 pm.</u> DEPART: <u>1:45 p</u>	<u>.m.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ROBERTS FUNERAL HOMES	S			
FACILITY LOCATION: 606 SW 2ND AVE				
OCALA 34471-09	315			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: F	BRUCE SESSLER PHONE: (352)622-4141			
CONTACT NAME: Bruce Sessler	PHONE: (352)622-4141			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/14/2008 / 12/14/2008 (effective date) (end date				
	·			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	\underline{S} (check \mathbf{v} only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-CC	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUI	<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		□ Yes □ No		
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during a set of the set of	?			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	□ Yes □ No □Yes □ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	 □Yes □ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submi (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp 	?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form form submit completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form form form form form for the form form form for the form form for the form for the form	?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an home submit and the second sec	?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submi (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hor 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submi (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hor 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	 Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No 		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an horizon 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	□Yes No □Yes No □Yes No □Yes No □Yes No □Yes No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an horizon 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	Yes No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an horizon 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA M c) Particulate matter emissions test with result dry standard cubic foot (ft³) of flue gas, correct (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	□Yes No		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp days prior to the AGP Notification form submit (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Not a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an horizon 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	?	□Yes No □Yes No		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	coruning of such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	
 All measurements (including CENIS) Monitoring device 	\Box Yes \Box No
	∐Yes ∐ No
 3) Performance Testing Measurements 4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes No
	Yes No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	\Box Yes \Box No
6) Adjustments	∐Yes ∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	$\Box Yes \Box No$
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions:	
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne
@ 1800 [°] F?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	Yes No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	Yes No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	Yes No
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	∐Yes ∐ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.

A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on t recent notification form?	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and co	-
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropri	
local program office?	
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construc was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction per required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	Yes No

Allen Rainey

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/18/09

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

1. I, Allen Rainey, performed an INS3 by witnessing visible emissions testing performed by Southern Environmental Services and conducting a follow-up compliance inspection. Contact was made with Bruce Sessler, Authorized Representative of Roberts Funeral Home, Dale Wingler, Southern Environmental Services, Dale Bergman, Crematory Operator of Roberts Funeral Home, and Joey Luckado of LEFTInc.

2. The VE test was done only for the B&L unit that had problems during the 9/3/09 VE test of the Matthews unit. No opacity readings over zero or objectionable odors were observed.

According to Mr. Bergman, the secondary chamber operating temperature of the B & L unit was increased 25 degrees F. to accomodate the times when the unit door is opened to reposition the body. Its affect on the operation temperature will be investigated by the Department. This inspection is marked MNC for the B & L operation below 1,600 degrees F. during cremations.
 Four photos taken.