	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	PLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0550045 DATE: <u>3/15/11</u> ARRIVI	E: <u>9 am/ noon</u> DEPART: <u>1:15 pm</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA PRECAST INDUSTRIES INC-SEF	BRING			
FACILITY LOCATION: 400 DEER TRL E				
SEBRING 33876-6500				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KRISTIN SZYN Email:	MCZAK PHONE: (863)655-1515 Mobile:			
CONTACT NAME: KRISTIN SZYMCZAK Email:	PHONE: (863)655-1515 Mobile:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)	Mobile.			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)			
Brief Notes:				
 Is the Authorized Representative still KRISTIN SZYMCZAK? If no, who is?: 	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 3. Is the facility contact still KRISTIN SZYMCZAK?				
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? - If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in ad- 				

Emissions Unit Section

|--|

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 2/20/09 2. Description: 2/20/09	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If fort year of computing was a VE test performed within 20 days of communing 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 3/9/10 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>52.27</u> tons/hour 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	X Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not loa e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		Dection.
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	$\Box Yes$ h.	🛛 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		🗌 No
 a) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes and the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes and the batching duration?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	h is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust col conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 		□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 o	nly one
	box for each q	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🗌 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>Non-metallic Minerial Processor</u> 		🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices? 2. Does the owner or operator:	🖾 Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖂; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable]	(check ☑ box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	ng question 2.))
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the owner prior to prove the set of the owner of the set of the provesting of the set of the s	5)]	No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗋 Yes	L No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage 		🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		⊠ No ⊠ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
 a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub- 	🗌 Yes	🛛 No

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility has a crusher on site. Crusher was inspected two weeks prior and discovered inactive. General Condition 1. At the start of the test, the silo pop-off valve was leaking. The tanker was shut down so obtaining a six minute average was difficult. In addition, the emissions from the pop-off valve were not high enough from my starting location for opacity reads. Finally, the emissions were hard to see because of the broken cloud cover in the background.

30 days prior to the change? ------

The load rate was hard to determined because of the repairs to the pop-off valve. The facility had the pump about one third to a half of the tanker load.

No No

Yes

The facility manager states that a new pop-off valve was installed. I need to obtained an invoice.

A non-complaionce letter will be mailed to the facility to repair the pop-off valve. If pop-off valve is not repaired, then enforcement action should be initiated.