

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1010376 DATE: <u>02/06/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:18am</u> DEPART: <u>11:17am</u>
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX EHREN CUT-OFF RM & BLOCK FACILITY
FACILITY LOCATION: 11121 EHREN CUT-OFF
LAND O LAKES 34639-8123
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES PHONE: (813)269-1240
CONTACT NAME: Alex Tongen for RM-Temporary assignment. PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: /
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
DADT H. TESTING/DECORD/JEDING DECUIDEMENTS   Dala C2 200 414 E A C
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?   Yes   No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	e 🗌	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check of appropriate box(es))		
paving and maintenance of roads, parking are     application of water or environmentally safe of emissions?      removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work are     reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards? dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Wendy D. Simmons  Ingrestor's Name (Please Print)	02/06/09  Date of Ingression	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 02/2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Dust coming from around truck shroud. Did VE from 8:36am to 8:47am. Could not get full 12 minutes. North side of the split is Flyash... South side is slag. Not using slag any longer. According to plant operator this facility will only operate for a few months and they will shut it back down. The plant will likely be shutdown at that time for long term basis. This plant is only being used until the Land O Lakes plant is back in operation. Testing LOL silo for pressure today, if all goes well, 2 to 4 weeks they will be back at the other facility. Sweeper comes by once per week. Fuel and material processed records are kept on a daily basis per Mr. Alex Longer in RM plant office. Observed testing on RM plant and block plant, VE testing at Block plant began at approx. 10:15 am. This facility will have an enforcement referral written for missing 2007 VE testing on a weigh hopper at RM plant and late submittal of testing for block plant EU#7 in 2008.