

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO) :		
AIRS ID#: 1010376 DA	TE: <u>09/29/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:33 am</u>	DEPART: <u>12:33pm</u>		
FACILITY NAME: KEYS CONCRETE RM-5/EHREN CUT-OFF					
FACILITY LOCATION: 11121 Ehren Cut-off Road					
	LAND O LAKES	34639-8123			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE:	JEFFREY PORTER PHONE	E: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: C	huck Wheeler	PHONE	E: (813)996-1012		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/28/2006 / 5/28/2011					
	(effective date) (end da	ite)			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATU	S (check 🔽 only one box)			
IN COMPLIAN			NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
	CE MINOR Non-C	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICAT	NI NOR-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions	<i>"</i>				
1. Were visible emis	sions tests conducted during	g this site visit according to EPA Me	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
		chers), and other enclosed storage a	☐Yes ☐ No		
controlled to the	extent necessary to limit visi	ble emissions to 5 percent opacity?-			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is	s unachievable in practice?		\ Yes \ \ No		
		er) operation controlled by the silo dequestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ans			
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question	5.)	□Yes ⊠ No		
		uring the visible emissions test? batching rate representative of the n	ormal batching rate and		
duration?					
		operation are controlled by a dust consistency dissions tests of the weigh hopper (based)	-		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
<u> </u>	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ponly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	Yes
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ng ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check appropriate box(es))					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup emissions?	reasonable precautions to control unconfined ds, which shall include one or more of the folk piles, and yards?	lowing: Yes No Yes No to Yes No Yes No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Read. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the own notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, local program office?	ent than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No			
Wendy D. Simmons	09/29/2008				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	01/2009				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

COMMENTS: This facility's Visible Emissions (VE) testing for 2007 and 2008 did not include all permitted emission units. Last INS 2 was conducted on 9/21/2006 by Joe Panetta and facility seemed to be in compliance. The 2007 VE testing did not include VE's for both dust collectors on the weigh hopper at the Ready mix plant. A Field Warning Notice was issued. Emissions from charging/mixing areas on Block plants are controlled by a separate dust collector from the silo dust collectors. Spoke with Mr. Chuck Wheeler who was not plant manager. Mr. Wheeler stated that Mr. Sherwin Hatfield Jr., is the plant manager. Mr. Hatfield was at an OSHA training out of town. Mr. Wheeler stated the block plant was shutdown from around Thanksgiving 2006 to January 2008. The Ready mix plant continued to operate until ~Feb. 2008; then it shut down also. When asked, Mr. Wheeler said he believes the sweeper comes by once a month, the facility checks baghouses once per month, and they change the bags as needed. The 2008 VE test report, indicates it was conducted on the block plant but only one VE test was submitted and the facility has 2 EU's at its block plant. Mr. Wheeler informed me that the 2nd block plant was never built so EU's 9, & 10 do not require testing. A new cuber and new block buster (mini crusher) have been installed since last inspect, both of these units are exempt from permitting. According to Mr. Wheeler, the facility uses an average of 3,000 gallons of low sulfur diesel fuel per month when operating at full capacity. Mike Downing is the Ready mix plant manager his contact phone number is 727-862-4602. Mr. Wheeler stated the Block plant produced ~ 36,200 tons of product this year. Field Warning Notice was issued for missing EU test on Block plant. Sprinklers were present and operational during my visit. Block plant #3 was operating, no VE 's were noted during my site visit. On 10/12/2008, the facility re-registered for a change of ownership to CEMEX. The new registration indicates only 7 EU's instead of 8. This change in ownership registration does not indicate 2 dust collector on the Ready Mix plant weigh hopper. I spoke with Jason Jones on 11/18/2008. He will re-register facility to reflect 2nd baghouse on weigh hopper for RM plant, which will become EU8. EU's 9 & 10 have been inactivated. Mr. Jones will submit startup/shutdown dates for all units and resubmit VE tests with appropriate EU #'s since 2007 testing may be missing for some units, a follow-up inspections is planned for this facility in January.