

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0251084 DATE: <u>1/31/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:24 AM</u> DEPART:	11:40 AM		
FACILITY NAME:			
FACILITY LOCATION: 9409 NW 109TH ST			
MEDLEY 33178-1224			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AMERICO BARREIRO PHONE: (305)258-700 Email: Mobile: (305)258-700 CONTACT NAME: ABELE BARREIRO PHONE: (305)258-700 Email: Mobile: (786)255-305 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/28/2009 / 6/28/2014 6/28/2014 (effective date) (end date)	88 04		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>AMERICO BARREIRO</u>	(check only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still AMERICO BARREIRO? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ABELE BARREIRO? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No		

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete Batch w/Cement Dispenser (3 silos and 4 baghouses) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 1/23/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yan	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contro emissions by:	ol unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or me 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when neces 	Yes No
control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter?	nment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	_
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

for each o	□ No
- Yes	☐ No
	☐ No
	⊠ No
	⊠ No
	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
pane/yr < 1.0 ne/yr	0?
	□ No
,	•
Yes	⊠ No
🛛 Yes	☐ No
🛚 Yes	☐ No
	☐ No
	eral Yes Anne/yr Yes

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one box for each question) 1. Is the facility: stationary ☒; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	orior to changing location? Yes No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was 			
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No		
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?			
FRANK DELGADO	1/31/2014		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	1/2015		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS OPERATIONAL. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY FRANCIS MORLU ON DECEMBER 12, 2013.