**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 7775121 DA	TE: <u>9/20/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:25AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:35AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: EA	ST ORLANDO-ALAFAYA PI	LANT	
FACILITY LOCATION	4001 S ALAFAYA TR		
	ORLANDO 32831-20	009	
Email:	<b>D REPRESENTATIVE:</b> Billy nan Rivera, Production Manager <b>DD:</b> 7/9/2011 / 7/9/2016	Mobile:	(407)467-0637
	(effective date) (end date)		

### **Facility Section**

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Billy Pagano</u>	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS LANG? If no, who is?: <u>Billy Pagano</u>	Yes	⊠No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still THOMAS LANG? If no, who is?: <u>Billy Pagono</u>		⊠No ⊠No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	Yes Xes	□No □No

1 – CCB Plant-SE silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Lin
---

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{3/22}{2013}$		1. ,
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖂 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>3/22/2012</u>	-	_
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	No No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>32.7</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		$\bowtie$ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
	$\bigtriangledown$ Vac	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
		<b>1</b> ·
	<b>N</b>	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		<u> </u>
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>27.86</u> tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate		
duration?		🗌 No
2) W/bot was the botching note? $tons/hour W/bot was the botching dynation?$	tas	
3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll	n is separate ector	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration for the silo dust collector.	n is separate ector	🗌 No
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> </ul>	n is separate ector ? 🛛 Yes	
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ul>	n is separate ector ? 🛛 Yes 🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	n is separate ector ? 🛛 Yes 🛛 Yes	
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	n is separate ector ?  Ves Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> </ul>	n is separate ector ?  Ves Yes Yes Yes	No
<ul> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> </ul>	n is separate ector ?  Ves Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		1
	(check ☑ box for each	only one (uestion)
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{3/22}{2012}$		question
<ol> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> </ol>	- 🕅 Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		$\square$ No $\square$ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $3/22/2013$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		∐ No □ No
<ul> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>27.69</u> tons/hour</li> </ul>		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	V Vac	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	- 🛛 Yes	∐ No
		ا ا
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c	conducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A – silo not loading rate?	aded during ins	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	🛛 Yes	No No
<ul> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>41.35</u> tons/hour</li> <li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li> </ul>	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r		-
		_
duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min	🗌 Yes nutes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? min</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>	Yes nutes ch is separate	🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min	Yes nutes ch is separate illector	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? min</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> </ul>	Yes nutes ch is separate illector n? Yes	
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration? mir</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ul>	Yes nutes ch is separate illector n? Yes Yes	No No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? mir</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	Yes nutes ch is separate illector n? Yes Yes	No
<ol> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? mir</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ol>	Yes nutes ch is separate ollector n? Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? mir</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	Yes nutes ch is separate ollector n? Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No

	<u> </u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	only one uestion)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/22/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? DN/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each q	uestion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	эd	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	ollowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	$\bigtriangledown$ Vac	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	🛛 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🛛 No
<ol> <li>If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> </ol>	□ Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

PART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1.       Date of last inspection:       3/22/2012         2.       Det Minister File for the Allowed State St	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 3/22/2012</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>33.2</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		_
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con-		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
<ul><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>35.25</u> tons/hour</li><li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li></ul>	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to $g(1) - g(3)$ and $g(2) - g(3)$ and $g(3) - g(3)$	h	
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>		🗌 No
duration?		🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the sile dust collector was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.		
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>5</u> minutes.</li> </ul>		🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	$\bigvee$ Yes	
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? <u>35.25</u> tons/hour.</li> </ul>	_	∐ No □ No

t-truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit
--

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 3/22/2012	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{3/22/2012}{1000000000000000000000000000000000$		-
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	· · ·	—
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $3/22/2012$		
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each c	-
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 N/A – silo not load		ection.
<ul><li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li><li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li></ul>	Yes	🗌 No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	$\square$ Yes $h$ .	🗌 No
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat</li> </ol>	Yes	🗌 No
duration?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		
<ul> <li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
<ul><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	🗌 No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(abaalt 🔽	only one
	box for each	
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🛛 Yes 🖂 Yes	No No No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

<b>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</b> 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖂; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the followi	ng question 2.	)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(		∐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
to the appropriate Department of Local Art Program at least five business days prior to relocation:		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	rmit.	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	- 7	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	e)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	∐ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
Administrative Changes:		1
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		∐ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Ves	🛛 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	No No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	$ \square Yes$	$\bowtie$ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		$\square$ No

	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes d. A change in ownership? Yes	🛛 No 🕅 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? — Yes	

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Before 12/31/2014.

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

9/20/2013

**COMMENTS:** Assefa Hailemariam from Orange County (EPD) met Zach Beatty, representing Beatty Environmental, as well as Juan Rivera, Plant Manager for Prestige AB Managements Co LLC, at 4001 South Alafaya Tr, Orlando Florida. Four VES were audited on this date which are on cement silo(EU001 and EU004), fly ash silo(EU002) and loadout/mixer(EU005). All the loading rates were acceptable and observed opacity was zero percent for all four emission units. All the main roads inside the facility were wet, no dust or PM was leaving the property and no objectionable odors were noted during the inspection. R.O.has been changed from Thomas Lang to Billy Pagano.