

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY LOCATION: 4001 S ALAFAYA TR ORLANDO 32828 RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464 CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
FACILITY NAME: AB CONCRETE & SUPPLY FACILITY LOCATION: 4001 S ALAFAYA TR ORLANDO 32828 RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464 CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? — ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464 CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ────────────────────────────────────	AIRS ID#: 7775121 DATE: <u>7/31/2006</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:50 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:00am</u>
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464 CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ☐ Yes ☐ No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? ☐ Yes ☐ No 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) ☐ Yes ☐ No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? ☐ Yes ☐ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? ☐ ☐ Yes ☐ No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	FACILITY NAME: AB CONCRETE & SUPPLY
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464 CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ② Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ────────────────────────────────────	FACILITY LOCATION: 4001 S ALAFAYA TR
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/1/2005 / 9/1/2010 (effective date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ORLANDO 32828
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————	RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (813)651-4464
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	CONTACT NAME: Same as Above PHONE: (407)384-9079
PART II: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	(checuve date) (chd date)
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	
	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking are application of water or environmentally safe of emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work are reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards? dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without control replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62) 		
John Parker	7/31/2006	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 7/31/2007	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Prestige AB Concrete consists of two older model cement and fly ash silos both controlled by silo dust collectors, and two newer model cement and fly ash silos controlled by a central dust collector. Truck load-out is controlled by a separate dust collector, shroud and spray bars to mitigate fugitive particulates. The majority of the complex is paved, yet the roads and yard are unswept and covered with dirt and debis. The roads and yard were wet during my inspection, the yard is sprayed down with water using a "gunn hose" to prevent particulates from becoming airborne. The aggregate piles were being watered and windbreaks are used at this facility. It was reported by John Moody (Office Manager) that the dust collector and silo cartrige filters are inspected and maintained on a monthly basis. No visible emissions were observed from the silo baghouses or central dust collector during cement and fly ash loading. A small amount of emissions were detected intermittently during truck loadout (0.8 % opacity = highest six minute average). No Objectionable odors were detected.