

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	/ERY (CI)		
I	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	VO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775121 DAT	E: <u>06/09/2006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0900</u>	DEPART: <u>1045</u>		
FACILITY NAME: Prestige/AB Ready Mix, Inc.					
FACILITY LOCATION: 4001 S. Alafaya Trail					
	Orlando, FL 32831				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Brad Davis, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)384-9079					
CONTACT NAME: Sam	e as Above	PHO	NE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2	2005 ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 9/1/200 (effective			
		`			
(check ☑ appropriate Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emiss at a rate that is represented in the ext 4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 1. Were visible emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 2. Are emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 3. During visible emissions from the silo dust controlled to the ext 4. Are emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 1. Were visible emissions from the from the silo dust controlled to the ext 2. Are emissions from the from the first that is represented to the ext 3. During visible emission from the first that is represented to the ext 4. Are emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 5. If emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 5. If emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 1. Are emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 2. Are emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 3. During visible emission from the first that is represented to the ext 4. Are emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 5. If emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 4. Are emissions from the ext 5. If emissions from the first that is represented to the ext 6. Ext (1.2 **Line	corpositions tests conducted during this silos, weigh hoppers (batchers tent necessary to limit visible essentative of the normal silo logachievable in practice?————————————————————————————————————	site visit according to EPA least at the manner controlled by the sile tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a gather visible emissions test?	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter and conveying equipment y? loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rat do dust collector? (If answer maswer is "No" then de normal batching rate and minimum collector, which is separate (batcher) dust collector	Yes No Lee, Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pare-entrainment, and from building or work areas to red4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind but the particular of the particular installation of the parti	ds, which shall include one or more of the following: k piles, and yards? pressant chemicals when necessary to control ved areas under control of the owner/operator to duce airborne particulate matter? breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of Yes No					
	J.					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Ru A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement.						
 c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe 	ent than that noted on the most					
recent notification form?						
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, February local program office?	FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
Jodi D. Dittell	06/09/2006					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	09/01/2006					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: This inspection was conducted as part of multi-media investigation with FDEP. With regards to air permit, the facility uses ~202,500 gals/yr (permit limit 240,000 gals/yr) of low sulfur fuel (0.5%) and processes ~189,000 TPY of material (permit limit 10 million tons/yr). Visible emissions were observed coming from the drop point area, during the loading of the cement trucks. Reasonable precautions are utilized to control drop point emissions. The emissions are controlled by a partial enclosure, water suppressant and are also vented to the baghouse. The emissions were not leaving the property. A Rinker truck was observed unloading cement into one of the silos. No visible emissions were observed coming from the baghouse during that time. Mr. Brad Davis, the plant manager, said they were going to change out the baghouse bags this weekend. The new bags were						
Mr. Brad Davis, the plant manager, said they were going to change of observed to be onsite.	ut the baghouse bags this weekend. The new bags were					
The facility was watering the aggregate piles and the roadways were wet. No objectionable odors were detected.						