| FLORIDA |
|---------|

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | | | | |
| AIRS ID#: 7775119 DATE: 5/10/2007 ARRIVE: DEPART: | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: APAC EASTPOINT BATCH PLT | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 60 OTTER SLIDE RD | | | | |
| EASTPOINT 32328 | | | | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ALLEN FAULK PHONE: (334)673-8233 | | | | |
| CONTACT NAME: Wiley Willoughby PHONE: | | | | |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/24/2005 / 9/24/2010 (effective date) (end date) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? | | | | |
| b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? | | | | |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) |
|---|
| (check 🗹 appropriate box(es) |
| <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) |
| 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ∑Yes ∑No |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) |
| 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No |
| |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | |
|--|-------|------|
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠ only one box.</i>) | le 🗌 | |
| 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , | ing | |
| then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.) | Yes [| 🛛 No |
| a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? | Yes [| No |
| b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per | | |
| calendar year? | Yes [| No |
| c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? | Yes [| No |
| | | |

| c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| B. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? | □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

| | 1) | paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No |
|----|-----|--|
| | 2) | application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control |
| | | emissions? Xes No |
| | 3) | removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to |
| | | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No |
| | 4) | reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of |
| | | particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) | use | e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No |

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

| 1. | Sinc | the last inspection has there been | | |
|----|------|---|------|------|
| | a) | installation of any new process equipment? | Yes | |
| | b) | alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | Yes | 🛛 No |
| | c) | replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most | | |
| | | recent notification form? | Yes | 🛛 No |
| | d) | If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
| | | notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or | _ | |
| | | local program office? | ∐Yes | ∐ No |

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/10/2007

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

Contacts present: Lisa Swain, VE compliance test consultant Ronnie Raffield, Plant Manager, 850 670-5740 Wiley Willoughby, Troy Simpson

Ms. Swain had finished the test and was leaving. I reviewed her VE results and the readings appeared to be compliant. According to facility staff, a new spraybar was installed about a month ago.

The compliance testing had ended and the trucks had finished loading upon my arrival. There were no excess emissions observed. The yard appeared to have a sprinkler system for yard dust.

The inspector called Allen Faulk on May 21, 2007 and he requested all correspondence be mailed to Mr. Willoughby.