CHARGED PROTECTION	
John Martin	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0251042 DATE: <u>5/10/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:14 AM</u> DEPART:	<u>12:05 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: TREMRON MIAMI, INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 11321 NW 138th St			
MEDLEY 33178-3101			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: EDWIN PEREZ PHONE: Email: Mobile: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: KEN BARNES PHONE: (863)491-099 Email: kbarnes@tremron.com Mobile: (904)563-570 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/5/2013 / 2/5/2018 (effective date) (end date) (end date) (end date)			
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	LIANCE		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ANGEL VIDAL</u>	_		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still EDWIN PEREZ?	⊠ Yes ∐No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still KEN BARNES? If no, who is?:	YesNo YesNo		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each o	
 Date of last inspection: <u>8/17/12</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each o	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🕅 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🖂 Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_	_
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- Yes	
 b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 📋 Yes	∐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one box
	for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal pro275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propagation	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ or for each q	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices?	🗋 Yes	🛛 No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	🛛 Yes	No No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following stationary) is the following stationary of the following stationary is the following stationary is the following stationary of the following stationary is the following stationary	(check ☑ box for each	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	ermit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	—	—
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
L		
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen	tative not	

	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes we or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	⊠ No □ No
	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	 ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/10/2013

Date of Inspection

5/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY WAS IN OPERATION BUT ONLY ONE LINE (THREE SILOS) WAS RUNNING. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY PROBLEMS WHILE I WAS ON SITE. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST IS DUE THIS CALENDAR YEAR.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 1:52 pm, May 28, 2013