WHERTUL PROTECTION	
Street Valence	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	
AIRS ID#: 0251039 DATE: <u>8/14/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:25AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: TYSUNN DRY CLEANERS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1545 SUNSET DR		
CORAL GABLES 33	3143-5878	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BI Email: mazzeob@bellsouth.net CONTACT NAME: BIAGIO MAZZEO Email: mazzeob@bellsouth.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/19/2012 / 4/19/20 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 017	: (305)668-0880 (305)342-9675 : (305)668-0880 (305)342-9675
L <u></u>		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (	(check $\square$ only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COM	APLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	VT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II:       FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check I only one box in A)       - Rule (	62-213.300 FAC	
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3. Existing large area source $\Box$ dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit $\Box$ d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	<ul> <li>2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x &lt; 140 transfer only, x &lt; 200 ga both types, x &lt; 140 gal/y (constructed on or after</li> <li>4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after</li> </ul>	D gal/yr al/yr /yr 12/9/91) $x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $\le 1,800$ gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr

**B**. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 135.00 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		````	check ☑ x for each d	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
<ol> <li>Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?</li> </ol>	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	□ No	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds		105		
maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

	PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
(K	(Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)						
	1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.						
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.						
	3. If the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>						
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refrig	gerated			
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :			check ☑ x for each o	only one question)		
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No			
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A		
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A		
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		

Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and				
after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	No No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
<b>B.</b> 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes Yes	D No	⊠ N/A ⊠ N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		eck ☑ o or each q	only one uestion)
1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes [	No	
2. Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	Yes	No	
3. Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:			
a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes	No	N/A
b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	Yes [	] No	N/A
4. Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	Yes [	No	N/A
5. Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?	Yes	No	N/A
6. Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	Yes	No	
7. Are deviation reports maintained?	Yes	No	N/A
a) Problem corrected?	Yes	No	N/A
8. Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?	Yes [	No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each q	uestion)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\square$	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while	the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible	leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes No		<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbor	n detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$		
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Xes       No       N/A       N)       Stills         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Xes       No       N/A       i)       Exhaust dampers	Yes   No [ Yes   No [ Yes   No [ Yes   No [ Yes   No [	<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed a</li> <li>          \[</li></ul>					
MARUFUL MALIK	8/14/2013				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	8/2014				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
<b>COMMENTS:</b> On August 14, 2013 I visited this facility Parada, the manager of the facility. No leaks were detected	to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met in the Dry Cleaning Machine. Perc purchase receipts and y				

consumption records were available for the last calendar year. Halogen leak detector was available in working condition.

**REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 4:18 pm, Sep 26, 2013