

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0951232 DATE: <u>9/20/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:30 AM</u> DEPART:	9:55 AM				
FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE PRECAST-ORLANDO					
FACILITY LOCATION: 690 W Taft Vineland Rd					
ORLANDO 32824-8007					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL KOVALICK PHONE: (407)855-7580 Email: Mobile: PHONE: PHONE: PHONE: PHONE: Mobile: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/28/2011 / 10/28/2016	0				
(effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check only one box)	PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
	IANCE				
	IANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Robert Guptill	(check ☑ only one				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Robert Guptill Brief Notes: Plant Manager 2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL KOVALICK?	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement)batcher&mixerw/cent.dustcollector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 8/16/2012 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No		
	 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No		
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>26.06</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	⊠ Yes	□ No		
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h.	□ No		
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra				
	duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu		☐ No		
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	n is separate			
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	Yes Yes	□ No		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		 No No		
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? $\underline{26.06}$ tons/hour.		□ No		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	 No No No No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{e/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🛛 Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2. Does the owner or operator:	_	_
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		∐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.	Yes No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	y prior to changing location? Yes No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busic. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation? Yes No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions una. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put	it in that separate permit:
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long:	
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -	
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adn 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	f the facility or authorized representative not on of the facility or any emissions units or ninistrative change at the facility?
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub d. A change in ownership?	t?
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	tion form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes No
Ilka Bundy	9/20/2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	9/20/2014

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy, inspector, met with David Jones, consultant, and Robert Guptill, Plant Manager for Oldcastle Precast, on September 20, 2013, to audit the visible emissions test on the central dust collector (CDC). Mr. Guptill stated the facility sweeps the yard twice a week. The aggregate is stored in bins below the ground. The observed opacity on the CDC was zero percent. The tanker's loading rate was acceptable. No objectionable odors were noted. No unconfined or uncontrolled emissions were observed. Mr. Guptill stated the responsible official (R.O.), Michael Kovalick, left this facility approximately 6 months ago. The inspector requested that a new R.O. be designated and send a letter or e-mail to the inspector within two weeks. It appeared that the facility was batching during the test based on the noises coming from the production area inside of the metal building. The facility appears to be in compliance with their air permit at this time.