

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)			
ΑI	RS ID#: 0951232 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>8/16/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>07:45</u>	DEPART	: 09:15		
FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE PRECAST-ORLANDO							
FACILITY LOCATION: 690 W TAFT VINELAND RD							
		ORLANDO 3282	24-8007				
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: ONTACT NAME: Email: VTITLEMENT PERIO		MICHAEL KOVALICK 228/2016 (ate)	PHONE: (407)855-75 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	580		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
		resentative(s): <u>Bob Perry</u>	<u>G</u>		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
2.	Is the Authorized Repro	esentative still MICHAEL	KOVALICK?		⊠ Yes	□No	
3.	If different, did the facilist the facility contact st If no, who is?:	lity provide an administratill?	tive update within 30 days	?	- Yes Yes	□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conduct		ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?			□No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement)batcher&mixerw/cent.dustcollector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 7/20/2011 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	 No No No No No No
	 i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		⊠ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>22.96</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collection. 	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 22.96 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o				
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		 No No No			
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No			
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No			
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	∑ Yes∑ Yes∑ Yes	 No No No No No No No			
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	☐ No			
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each of				
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No			
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Yes	☐ No			
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No			
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No			

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one box for each question 1. Is the facility: stationary ☒; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐					
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (a. 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	f only stationary, skip the following question	on 2.)			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (<i>If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.</i>) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or	_	es No			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location? Yeation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	es No			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	es No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:					
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?	pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Y	es 🗌 No			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	Y	es No			
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or					
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?				
	3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No				
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrati 30 days prior to the change?		es No			
Assefa Hailemariam	8/16/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	~12/31/2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	<u> </u>			

COMMENTS: The inspector, Assefa Hailemariam, met with Mr.David Jones, consultant for the facility on 8/16/2012 to audit the compliance test being conducted on the dust collector for the cement/ fly ash and Observed opacity was 0.0%. The loading rate was at ~23TPH. The facility workers, were also present during the VE test. The facility roads are all paved. No PM was observed leaving the property. No objectionable odors were detected during the compliance test. The facility failed to met the 25 TPH, but the compliance test for 2011 the loading rate was also less than 25TPH (24.6TPH).