

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 29, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested hank.belcher@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Henry "Hank" Belcher Environmental Director Preferred Materials 13101 Telecom Drive, Suite 101 Tampa, Florida 33637

Dear Mr. Belcher:

On November 19, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330266. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradfor

RB/jw/c

Enclosure

c: James Swedenburg, Preferred Materials: j.swedenburg@preferredmaterials.com Courtland Wyrick, Preferred Materials: cwyrick@preferredmaterials.com



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (IN RE-INSPECT			AINT/DISCOVI OMPLAINT NO			
AIRS ID#: 0330266 DATE: <u>11/19/10</u>		ARRIVE:	<u>10:45 AM</u>	DEPART:	10:56 AM	
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MA	ATERIALS-PENSA	ACOLA				
FACILITY LOCATION: 415 HY	YATT ST					
PENSA	ACOLA 32503-2	229				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESEN Email: Hank.Belcher@preferredma CONTACT NAME: MIKE BIAGINI Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/20 (effective d	terials.com 007 / 12/15/201		Mobile	<b>E:</b> (407)402-486	)4 51	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)						
	NOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE	SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPL	LIANCE	
D. D. W. ONGWED IN THE OPTICE OF						
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		cwyrick@p	oreferredmateria	<u>ls.com</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
Brief Notes:  2. Is the Authorized Representative still If no, who is?:	HENRY "HANK"	'BELCHER	?		⊠ Yes	□No
If different, did the facility provide at 3. Is the facility contact still MIKE BIA If no, who is?: <u>James Swedenburg</u> , 6	GINI?				Yes Yes (850) 433-200	□No ⊠No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) If yes, was the compliance authority in	during today's ins	spection?			- Yes	⊠No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. 1 2. 1	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	box for each	
1	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	∐ No □ No
	operation?	Yes	☐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>fly ash - 25.9 cement 26.38</u> tons/hour	<ul><li>⋉ Yes</li><li>⋉ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
1	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?  i. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check	only one
	enciosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
,	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loading rate?		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	Yes	☐ No
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to	Yes h.	☐ No
	<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>	☐ Yes	☐ No
	duration?  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	- Yes	☐ No
]	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	? Yes	□ No
,	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>	☐ Yes	☐ No

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	only one h question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if profit ble feet of passed onsite (use equation below)?  gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1.3 MM gal propared to: a. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 1		☐ No
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	mption Yes	□ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one h question)
<ol> <li>Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?</li></ol>	X Yes X Yes ss	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>	ationary and relocatable	th question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lee-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica</li> </ul>	rior to changing location? Yes tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business.  c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation to the appropriate Department or Local Air Department of Local Air De	ys following a relocation? Yes Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was contact at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose.	r construction or air operation permit, n that separate permit:	☐ No
If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		only one
Administrative Changes:	box for eac	•
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each box for each box for each box for each box for authorized representative not so the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes	•
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or	box for each box for authorized representative not soft the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes fithe change?	h question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin.  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	box for each set facility or authorized representative not of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes f the change? Yes Yes ntially different? Yes Yes reform and the appropriate fee submitted	h question)  No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration.	box for each set facility or authorized representative not of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes f the change? Yes Yes ntially different? Yes Yes reform and the appropriate fee submitted	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	box for each efacility or authorized representative not of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes Yes	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?  4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?  Jennifer Waltrip	box for each box for the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes for the change?	h question)  No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on November 19, 2010 at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Escambia County. The facility was not in operation at the time and Mr. Courtland Wyrick was present to assist during the inspection.

To prevent fugitive emissions, the entire site is paved and the yard is swept or watered down as needed. Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are equipped with sprinklers. The facility operates on electricity, so there are no fuel requirements. Emissions from the truck loadout are controlled by a partial enclosure and dust collector. There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, each with their own dust collector/baghouse. The most recent annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted on March 18, 2010. No emissions were noted during the tests.