

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Governor

Jeff Kottkamp
Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole
Secretary

Charlie Crist

August 10, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL CWyrick@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Courtland Wyrick Operations Manager Preferred Materials, Inc. 415 Hyatt Street Pensacola, Florida 32503

Dear Mr. Wyrick:

On July 17, 2009, Department representatives with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330266. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those sources specifically listed on the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Ein Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure



## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	):		
AIRS ID#: 0330266 DA	ΓΕ: <u>7/17/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:18 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:37 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-PENSACOLA					
FACILITY LOCATION	415 HYATT ST				
	PENSACOLA 3250	3-2229			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300					
CONTACT NAME: Da	an Swafford	PHONE	€:		
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012					
	(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check 🗹 only one box)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	W 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(11(01 00).1121.11		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emiss	sions tests conducted during th	nis site visit according to EPA Me	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
2. Are emissions from	62-297, F.A.C.)?				
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question 5.	)			
b) During the visi	ble emissions test, was the bat	tching rate representative of the n	ormal batching rate and		
duration?5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) op	peration are controlled by a dust co	ollector, which is separate		
		sions tests of the weigh hopper (been tative of the normal batching ra	atcher) dust collector te and duration?   Yes  No		
	S	<i>6</i>			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
<ul> <li>Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?    Yes □ No				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
	le 🗌			
<ul> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable</li> </ul>	sing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREME	<u>ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<ol> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-semissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wire particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ol>	yards, which shall include one or more of the following: tock piles, and yards? suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.
<ul> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without reconstruction of existing equipment substantially difference notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the own ontification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)</li> </ul>	wner submit a new and complete
Jennifer Waltrip	July 17, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Camila e la Caltino	July 2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Escambia Swafford was present to assist during the inspection.  To prevent fugitive emissions, the entire site is paved and the yard three-sided concrete wind breaks which are equipped with sprinkless.	It is swept or watered down as needed. Aggregate is stored in ers. Emissions from the batcher are controlled by a partial nent silo, each with their own dust collector/baghouse. The annual nental Services, Inc. on March 6, 2009. No emissions were noted by maintenance inspections on dust collectors and gaskets,