

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

September 21, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL Brian.Schreiber@andersoncolumbia.com

Brian Schreiber A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056-1829

Dear Mr. Schreiber:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775114**. Your facility permit expires on **May 15**, **2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may also be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Victor Keisker, AMGI (<u>Victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com</u>; <u>keister@comcast.net</u>)

Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle, FDEP



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	· / -					
AIRS ID#: 7775114 DATE: 9/15/2011 FACILITY NAME: AMGI PLANT #24	ARRIVE: <u>11:00 A.M.</u>	DEPART:					
FACILITY LOCATION: 240 AIRPORT RD CARRABELLE 32	2322-3059						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: E Email: CONTACT NAME: CASEY PETERSON Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/15/2008 / 5/15/20 (effective date) (end date	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 013	: (386)752-7585 : (850)575-5815					
Facility Section							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): N/A Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still BRIAN SCH. If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrativ 3. Is the facility contact still CASEY PETERSON?	ve update within 30 days?	YesNo					
If no, who is?: 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today' If yes, was the compliance authority notified at leas							

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-Silo #1(cement), w/silotop baghouse - 54 T subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection: <u>3/24/2010</u>	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?-If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	- Xes	☐ No
I not, that was the problem (if known).		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	
		1
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo	conducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not lo	aded during ins	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hourg. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go a 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching duration?	rate and	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		110
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		□ Na
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		∐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	\(\text{Yes}	☐ No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	0? ⊠ No
			-
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one
Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ()		box for each ag question 2.)	• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	⊠ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	e business days prior to relocation?	Yes	⊠ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit:		⊠ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ✓	only one
		(check box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ative not nits or Yes	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	on of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility? s of the change?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?	on of the facility or any emissions with inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	on of the facility or any emissions with inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ative not nits or	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	on of the facility or any emissions with inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ative not nits or	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsed. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? Tracy White Inspector's Name (Please Print)	on of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? s of the change?	box for each ative not nits or	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	on of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? s of the change? stantially different? ion form and the appropriate fee sul	box for each ative not nits or	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: The facility was not in operation. The front gate was locked and no site staff appeared to be present. Equipment appeared to be the same, no changes were noted.