

HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



			Compliance			
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Lighthouse Funeral Service	es, LLC	DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name:Palm State Crematory ServicesSouthwest		Southwest				
ADDRESS: 12660 34th Street No	rth #A-2	CONTACT PHON	(E:			
Clearwater, FL		727-571-1726				
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:				
1030473 001	1030473-007-AG	Renewal Date:	10/3/2012			
1050475 001		Test Date:	10/24/2000			
	<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Human Crematory: B&L Cremation Systems, Model N-20 150 lb/hour (350 lb Batch). Required to operate at 1600 degrees.					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗆 only one box)				
1/31/12	In Compliance; 🗌 Minor Non-Com	pliance; 🗌 Significant	Non-Compliance			
	PART I: General Review:					
1. Permit File Review			Yes No			
2. Introduction and Entry			Yes No			
<i>Comments:</i> I met with Eric Kuge who provided me	e with all requested documents and answered	my questions.				
3. <i>Is</i> the Authorized Representative sti	Il <u>John Eric Kuge</u> ?		Yes No			
Comments:						
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>John Eric</u>	Kuge?		Yes No			
Comments:						
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update	e within 30 days?	Yes No			
	<u>STING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 4 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would		ice)			
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5) 1. New Facility / New Process Equ Did this facility demonstrate initial com		operation?	🗌 Yes 📃 No			
2. Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: Yes No						
minute average, except that visible emis six minutes in any one-hour period? [6.	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent ssions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allo 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]	owed for up to	Xes 🗌 No			
2. Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cad	daver? [62-296.401(5)(§	3)] 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
3. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.a	A.C.]	Xes 🗌 No			
	he department as soon as practical, but no late					
5. Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	·297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	Xes 🗌 No			
6 Was a visible emissions test(s) conducte	ed by the inspector during this site visit accord	ling to EPA Method 9?-	$\neg \neg \neg \neg \gamma es \boxtimes No$			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
 a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard? 	Yes No				
7. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	<u>Yes</u> <u>No</u>				
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
 Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- <u>0</u>; Wind direction - <u>calm</u> Upwind odor level detected-<u>0</u> (1-10) 	🗌 Yes 🖾 No				
	⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No				
 c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? 1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89 					
 3. Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5) 					
 4. If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than 1400°F? 	Yes No				
 5. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>
(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

6.	Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?
	[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]
	a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of
	their use and for at least two years after their use? IV Yes 🗌 No
	b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? Yes 🛛 No

PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
3.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? X Yes No Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? \boxtimes Yes \square No If yes go to a) – b)
	 a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? Xes I No b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? Xes I No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No				
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Xes Xes 2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? Yes Yes				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]				
 C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? Yes ≥ No b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes ≥ No c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes ≥ No d) A change in ownership? Yes ≥ No If the any of the answers to 1a) - 1)d is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change 				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the air general permit?				

PART VI: Comments

The temperature charts contained all required documentation for each cremation. There were no instances of non-compliance to report. Maintenance records and a log were available. The unit was calibrated again in November; a copy of the chart is attached.

Exit Interview: I informed Mr. Kuge that the facility appears to be in compliance at this time. I reminded him of the permit expiration in Dec. of this year and the renewal must be submitted by 10/3/12.

Shannon Ransom

Inspector's Name

1/31/12

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

~4/2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030473 001 80806.doc