

CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	. ,	
AIRS ID#: 0951229 DATE: 6/13/2014 ARRIVE: 10:30 AM DEPART: 11:00 AM				
FACILITY NAME: DI	VISION NINE LLC			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1130 W Central Blvd			
	ORLANDO 32805-18	313		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: T Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI		Mobile	e: E: (407)781-5575	
⊠ IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM	<u> </u>	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
 (check ☑ appropria Does the facility which are exemp have been exemp Does the facility not cause, suffer, odor? Does the combine in any consecutiv Does the owner/oused on a monthl Does the owner/of at least five ye 	operate any emissions units other than permitting pursuant to the sted from permitting under Rule comply with the objectionable of allow or permit the discharge of the facility maintain of the discharge of the di	er than the cast polymer operation of criteria of paragraph 62-210.30 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210 odor prohibition of subsection 62 of air pollutants which cause or compared of the control of the control of	ons and emissions units 00(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or 0.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.)	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.				
(check appropriate box(es))	·			
involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effect c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent e) managing cleanup solvents?	e air?			
adjacent property, where applicable, and on the enviro water quality, or air quality?				
3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted fac				
	,,,			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	t replacement? Yes No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
Ilka Bundy	6/13/2014			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	6/13/2015			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: The highest consecutive 12 month total for resin and gel coat usage is 256,125 pounds, or 128.06 tons, from May 2014. No objectionable odors were detected off site. A slight odor was detected on the property. Both Troy and Trisha were present during the inspection. Troy gave the inspector a walk-through of the facility to observe operating conditions. The cast polymer operation was in good condition. The marble-cutting operation was creating particulate matter (PM) to leave the roll-up doors. The inspector advised Troy that the PM is not allowed to leave their site and could potentially lead to an NPDES issue. Troy stated he will buy more wet saws to eliminate the dust issue. No PM was observed leaving the property during the inspection.