

CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	· / -		
AIRS ID#: 0951229 DATE:	5/26/2011	ARRIVE: <u>09:22</u>	DEPART: <u>09:48</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SOUT	HERN MARBLE & DESIG	IN			
FACILITY LOCATION:	315 W GRANT ST UN	IT M			
	ORLANDO 32806				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED R Email: CONTACT NAME: TRO' Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:	Y RASH		PHONE: (407)245-2882 Mobile: PHONE: (407)245-2882 Mobile:		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
 (check ☑ appropriate better the second of th	ate any emissions units other may be permitting pursuant to the from permitting under Rule of the ply with the objectionable of the work of the discharge of the permitting under Rule of the permitting under Rule of the discharge of the discharge of the facility maintain resis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3) the permitting the permitting the permitting the permitting standard of the permitting the permitten the permitt	er than the cast polymer e criteria of paragraph 6 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule dor prohibition of subset air pollutants which cases resin and gel-coat used to document the 0(c)6.d., F.A.C.)	ection, these records for a period	□Yes □ No ble □Yes □ No s) □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No l b.,	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIR	<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by: a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air?				
		1		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?	$\Box \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}$	s 🖂 No		
a) instantation of any new process equipment:	<u></u>	2 MI/0		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?				
recent notification form?				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F. local program office?	A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	s 🖾 No		
Assefa Hailemariam	5/26/2011			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	~5/2012			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: Facility provided all records for resin and gel-coat and showed the 12-month total 129,105 pounds, which is less than the permit limit of 284,000 pounds. The owner stated no production as of April 26,2011 and moved the operations to another location. The inspector advised the owner to contact EPD permitting air staff, John Kasper, regarding obtaining a new permit for the new location. Upon arrival at EPD, the inspector was notified by John Kasper the facility owner had contacted him regarding the air permit.