

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0830140 DATE: 12/6/10 ARRIVE: DEPART	Γ:					
FACILITY NAME: OCALA LUMBER SALES						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1700 NW 8TH AVE						
OCALA 34478						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HENRY MOXON PHONE: (352)732-23 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Mobile: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/9/2005 / 6/9/2010 Facility may be operating without Entitlem (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)						
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	PLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HENRY MOXON?						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	YesNoNo YesNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete Batching Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1. Date of last inspection:	OUN TOI CACII	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	∐ Yes	∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐ Yes	∐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
DADEN CEACK ENGICENNIC CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF TH		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	onducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loa		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	- Yes	☐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching raduration?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration.	? Yes	☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	Yes	☐ No
d. What was the process rate:tons/nour.		

Facility Section (continued)

-	AND MARKON OF COMPANY PERMIT BY ICHINARY		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of gas the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	e/yr aption	?
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both static concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If on 	onary and relocatable	(check 🗹 of box for each of question 2.)	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loca e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prid b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	or to changing location?n Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)		□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business of c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	l	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air c and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in t a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	hat separate permit: e (i.e, there is no repeated usage)	? 🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes	□ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹 o	•
Administrative Changes:		box for each c	luestion)
	Part 1114 - American 11 - Amer		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility?	s or Yes	☐ No ☐ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administIf YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the same of the	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different?	s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	=
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility? he change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subn	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial. A change in ownership?	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility? he change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subn	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility? he change?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administ 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of to New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unit rative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subn	s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No