	NUMERTAL PROTEC	TION	ic.
BI GHI	V	A rea	
FI	ORIDA	ar	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		NT/DISCOVERY (MPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0310494 DA	TE: <u>3/13/13</u>	ARRIVE: _		DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: AA	A PRECAST PRODUCTS				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 8300 W BEAVER	ST			
	JACKSONVILLE	32220-2325			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	CD REPRESENTATIVE: OD: 8/26/2010 / 8/26/ (effective date) (end da	/2015	PHONE: (⁴ Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	904)781-4818	
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
		~			
	RODUCTORY MEETING			(check 🗹 box for eac	only one only one only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Ruth Joyner</u>					
_	with Ruth Joyner, walked thu	-	-	-	
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still BILLY JOY	(NER?		Xes	No
	cility provide an administrat still ?				□No □No
 Will facility be condu If yes, was the compli 	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea	y's inspection? ast 15 days in advanc	e?	Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/12 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? NA Yes No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? □ Yes □ No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes □ No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes □ No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? □ Yes □ No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes □ No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes □ No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? □ Yes □ No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes □ No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes □ No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
 b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X N/A Yes No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>
Conveying Equipment. Conveyor Drop Points. Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
emissions by:
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to
control emissions? Xes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne
particulate matter? Xes No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
particulate matter from stock piles? No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? [] Yes [] No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes Ves
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section <u>2-CCB Plant-batcher/mixer subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: <u>7/19/12</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir	ned	
emissions by:		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes	□ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 		
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	_	∐ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes	No No No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	_	🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	 □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} 1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?}$	ne/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:		_
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	∐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary 🖂; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	(check ☑ box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	ng question 2.))
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	(6)] 🗌 Yes	□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	e)? 📋 Yes	L No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	D No No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? 		⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change? 		⊠ No

William Coffman

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/13/13

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Plant was not in operation at time of inspection. It is a precast facility that pours cement i to forms. Operators were cleaning material while Inspector was walking thru facility. No violations were noted, area clean. Last Ve done 5/24/12. Electric motors used at facility no l combustion engines on site..