

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):		
AIRS ID#: 0251017 DA	TE: <u>3/13/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:07 AM</u>	DEPART: 10:00 AM		
FACILITY NAME: DOWNTOWN MIAMI PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 2111 NW 1 Place				
	MIAMI 33127				
DECDONCIDI E OFFIC		риом	F. (054)959 0799		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JACK RAIMONDI		PHONE: (954)858-0788			
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	E:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTI		EMENT PERIOD: 8/31/200 (effective da			
		`			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emis	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
2. Are emissions fro	2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment				
3. During visible em	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question 5.)		\(\sqrt{Y}\)es \(\sqrt{N}\) No		
b) During the vis	ible emissions test, was the batch	ning rate representative of the r			
	the weigh hopper (batcher) oper		reollector, which is separate		
from the silo dust	collector, are the visible emissio	ons tests of the weigh hopper (b			
conducted willle t	rate may at a rate mat is represent	tative of the normal vatering ra			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
	le 🗌			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR!	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
T	<u> </u>			
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan	ent take researchle presentions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	at take reasonable precautions to control uncommed			
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
	eas, stock piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinc{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texitext{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\titt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi}\text{\text{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\tet			
	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
	XYes No			
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\simeg\)Yes				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of				
	\Begin{align*} \text{Yes} \Bigsilon \text{No}			
particulate matter from stock prices.	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \BYES \BYES \BYES No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, of partial enclosure to fine	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck? MICS 1100			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	Į.			
	ļ ,			
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment withor	out replacement? Tyes No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	y different than that noted on the most			
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4	-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?				
FRANK DELGADO	3/13/2007			
Ingrestor's Name (Dlagge Drint)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	3/2008			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: THIS FACILITY HAS THREE (3) SILOS V	WITH FOUR (4) DUST COLLECTORS. ONE SILO IS A SPLIT			
	O (2) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON SILOS # 2 AND #4.			
	RING THE TEST. WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE			
PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.	All O THE LEGI. WE DID ITO LODGER LEGISLET LEGISLET			
THE VE TECT ON CHO #2 CTARTED AT 0.02 AM THE C	OH O WAG LOADED AT 10 DOI			

THE VE TEST ON SILO #2 STARTED AT 9:03 AM. THE SILO WAS LOADED AT 12 PSI.

THE VE TEST ON SILO #4 STARTED AT 9:25 AM. THE SILO WAS LOADED AT 12 PSI.