

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPE	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		/DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS 1	ID#: 1110098 DATE:	ARRIVE:	_ DEPAR	Т:
FACII	LITY NAME: OLDCASTLE/MATT STONE	E HOLDINGS		
FACII	LITY LOCATION: 4600 MAGNUM D	OR		
	FT PIERCE 3498	81-4836		
Em CONT Em	ER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ail: eric.myers@oldcastleapg.com 'ACT NAME: MARK LAWRENCE ail: FLEMENT PERIOD: 3/5/2009 / 3/5/20 (effective date) (end d	014	PHONE: (813)783-1 Mobile: PHONE: (772)429-1 Mobile:	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
	II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING me(s) of facility representative(s):	G		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Brie	ef Notes:			
	he Authorized Representative still ERIC MYE o, who is?:	ERS?		YesNo
3. Is the	ifferent, did the facility provide an administrative facility contact still MARK LAWRENCE? o, who is?:			
	Il facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda es, was the compliance authority notified at le			

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -East Silo DC subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. 2.	Date of last inspection:  Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No   No   No   No   No   No   No   No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contract in appropriately and the particular leading rate?		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to $g.1$ . Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h. Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute		☐ No
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	☐ Yes	□ No

## Emissions Unit Section 2 -Center Silo subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection:  2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?  d. Date of last VE test:  e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?  g. What was the actual silo loading rate?  h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?  j. What was the actual batching rate?  j. What was the problem (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No   No   No   No   No   No   No   No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ol>	Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo no e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	ot loaded during ins Yes  Yes  go to h Yes  ing rate and Yes  minutes  which is separate  st collector  ation? Yes	
<ol> <li>What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? n</li> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?         <ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes Yes Yes e.	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 -West Silo subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection:	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
d. Date of last VE test:		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	□ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	_	_
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
		1
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	□ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	∐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	□ ***	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	∐ Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a r	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	□No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	_	_
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra	te and	
duration?	- Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		☐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	∐ Yes	□ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	∐ Yes	∐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	□ Vaa	□ No
<ul><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li><li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li></ul>	∐ Yes	☐ No
d. what was the process rate:tons/nour.		

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

	<u> </u>		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>v</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation.	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No   No   No   No   No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar.  Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	□ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary   ; relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	)]	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per		☐ 1 <b>10</b>
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	)?	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CWA NODE		
CHANGES  A device structure Changes	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or - 🔲 Yes	□ No
Since the last registration form submittal has there been     a. Installation of any new process equipment?     b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?     c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?     d. A change in ownership?		<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		☐ No ☐ No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No