WHEITUL PROTECTION	
San Acade	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112472 DA FACILITY NAME: FO	TE: <u>06/30/2014</u> RT LAUDERDALE WOODWO	ARRIVE: <u>1430</u> Orking	DEPART: <u>1530</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: sandywatts@ CONTACT NAME: C Email: sandywatts@ ENTITLEMENT PERIC	HARLES WATTS earthlink.net		PHONE: (954)935-0366 Mobile: PHONE: (954)935-0366 Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-COM	·	x) GNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANC	E
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS       – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.         (check ☑ appropriate box(es))       1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No         2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
<ol> <li>(check appropriate</li> <li>1. Is/Are the surface emission limiting</li> <li>2. Does the facility</li> </ol>	e coating operation(s) subject to g standard of Chapter 62-296.50 cause, suffer, allow or permit th	o a VOC Reasonably A 00, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2 ne discharge of air poll	TTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. Available Control Technology (RA 10.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) lutants which cause or contribute	□Yes ⊠No

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check  $\overline{\square}$  appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes 🗋 No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	- Yes No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	$-\Box Y_{es} \Box N_{o}$

2) recycling cleaning solvents?------ Yes No 3) using water based cleaners?----- Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	_	_
	Yes	No
d) If you answered $\underline{YES}$ to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

06/30/2014

Date of Inspection

06/30/2015

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 06/30/2014, AQD staff (E. Susky). The facility has a spray booth, one snall dust collector. and one large cyclone dust collector. Houekeeping was good and Mr. Watts (owner) accompanied staff on the inspection. The owner submitted the VOC records during the inspection and the highest VOC per month was 20.56 VOC lbs/per day in December, 2013.