

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVI | · / - | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0150085 DATE: <u>1/25/12</u> | ARRIVE: <u>12:30 pm</u> | DEPART: 2 pm | | |
| FACILITY NAME: PORT CHARLOTTE RM & BLOCI | K FACILITY | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 19300 PEACHLAND BL | .VD | | | |
| PORT CHARLOTTE 3 | 3948-3188 | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASO Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: JASON JONES Email: jasonp.jones@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date) | Mobile PHON Mobile | E: (813)269-1240 | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: | | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JASON JONES? - If no, who is?: | | | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still JASON JONES? If no, who is?: | | | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 | | | | |

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-RM west split bin (flyash) compart. #1 w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

| PAR' | Γ I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check 🗹 | only one |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Da | ate of last inspection: $\frac{2/7/11}{}$ | box for each | question) |
| | st Visible Emissions (VE) tests: | | |
| | Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? | ∑ Yes | □ No |
| | Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? | Yes | ∐ No |
| | If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? ———————————————————————————————————— | Yes | ☐ No |
| e. f. | Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? | | ☐ No ☐ No |
| i. : | If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour | Yes Yes | □ No □ No |
| | Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?If not, what was the problem (if known)? | ⊠ Yes | □ No |
| PAR' | Γ II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other | / .11 . 7 | 1 |
| 1111 | enclosed storage and conveying equipment | (check ☑ box for each | only one |
| | | box for each | question) |
| 1. V | Vas a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | | ☐ No |
| | The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. | | 1NO |
| | Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? | Yes | ☐ No |
| d. | During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co | | |
| | that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not load If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? | | pection. No |
| | What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour | - 🖂 1 es | |
| g. | Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? $f(YES)$, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to | Yes Yes | ⊠ No |
| 1, | Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |
| | duration? | - Yes | ☐ No |
| h. | 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which | | |
| 11. | from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. | | |
| | conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut | ? 🛛 Yes | ☐ No |
| 2. W | as a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? | | ☐ No |
| a. | Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | | ☐ No |
| c. | The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? | Yes | ☐ No |
| d. | What was the process rate? tons/hour. | | |

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-RM center split bin (cement) compart #2 w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

| 1. | Date of last inspection: 2/7/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? | (check ☑ box for each ☐ Yes | only one question) No No No No No |
|----|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☑ Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |
| PA | ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? | ded during ins | |
| | g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| | If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| | 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? | - Yes | ☐ No |
| | 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. | n is separate ector | _ |
| | conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut | | ⊠ No |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No ☐ No |
| | c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 31.2 tons/hour. | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |

Emissions Unit Section 3 – CCB Plant-RM east split bin (cement) compart #3 w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

| 1. | Date of last inspection: 2/7/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? | ☐ Yes | NoNoNoNoNoNoNo |
|----|---|-----------------------|--|
| | i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? | | □ No |
| PA | ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment | (check 🗹 box for each | only one question) |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not loader. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? | ded during ins | |
| | f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |
| | If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? | h. | □ No |
| | 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra | te and | |
| | duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu | | ☐ No |
| | h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. | | |
| | conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut | Yes | ☐ No |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | | □ No□ No |
| | b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 29.3 tons/hour. | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |

Emissions Unit Section 7 – CCB Plant-RM weigh hopper/loadout w/central dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

| 1. | Date of last inspection: 1/28/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? | (check box for each Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | only one question) No No No No No |
|----|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? | | □ No □ No □ No |
| PA | ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) |
| 1. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | ⊠ Yes | ☐ No |
| | a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? | ⊠ Yes | □ No |
| | d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? | ded during ins | |
| | g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to | Yes L | ⊠ No |
| | 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| | 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? | - Yes | ☐ No |
| | 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. | n is separate ector | |
| | conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? 6 minutes. | ? 🛚 Yes | ∐ No |
| 2. | Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. | Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| | c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |

Facility Section (continued)

| <u>C(</u> | ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | | only one ach question) |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 2. | Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? | | ⊠ No |
| | b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | ⊠ No |
| 3. | Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| 4. | gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumer for the property of the prop | e/yr | |
| | for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | · Yes | ⊠ No |
| GI | ENERAL CONDITIONS | | only one ach question) |
| 1. | Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | | ⊠ No |
| 2. | Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all | - Yes | ⊠ No |
| 3. | terms and conditions of the air general permit? | | ⊠ No |
| | to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | - Yes | ⊠ No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary | onary and relocatable | (check ☑ box for each | - |
|--|--|---|---|
| concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If on</i> | | g question 2.) | |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) | | Yes | ⊠ No |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loca e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prio b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification | or to changing location? | | ☐ No |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business of c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five bus | Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) |)] | □ No□ No |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air co and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the second control of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the second control of the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions. | onstruction or air operation peri | | |
| a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose? | e (i.e, there is no repeated usage | ? Yes | ⊠ No |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? | | | ⊠ No □ No |
| CHANCES | | | |
| CHANGES | | (check ☑ box for each | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the f | | | |
| | | | |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administr 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? | ts or Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? | ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | NoNoNoNo |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administraction. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility? he change? | ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? he change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub- | ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | NoNoNoNoNoNo |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrated. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? he change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub- | ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted | No No No No No No No |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitrative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub- | ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted | No No No No No No No |
| associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? | tts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mitted Yes | No No No No No No No |