

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0150085 DATE: <u>03/19/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>08:25</u> DEPART: <u>10:00</u>
FACILITY NAME: PORT CHARLOTTE R/M BATCH & BLOCK PLANTS
FACILITY LOCATION: 19300 PEACHLAND BLVD
PORT CHARLOTTE 33948-3188
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DANIEL BEATTY PHONE: (239)597-3162
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/29/2007 / 12/29/2012 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
	1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stoc 					
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suj	ppressant chemicals when necessary to contro	1			
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other p					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re		⊠Yes ∐ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate e	missions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Re	ule 62-210 300(4)(d)4 F A C				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	uic 02-210.300(4)(u)4., F.A.C.				
11. Item of Mounted Process Equipment					
Since the last inspection has there been					
		□Yes ⊠ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form? Yes					
recent notification form? \square Yes \square No d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?					
rotar program office.		□Yes □ No			
Wayne Lewis	03/19/08 & 03/20/08				
wayne Lewis	03/17/00 & 03/20/00				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
1	1				
		<u> </u>			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					