

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant			Southwest			
ΑI	DDRESS: 8770 Somerset Drive		CONTACT PHONE:			
	Largo, FL		727-524-8755			
AF	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/8/15			
	1030464 001	1030464-004-AG	Renewal Date: 4/8/15			
			Test Date: 4/26/00			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Portable Concrete Batching: Belgrade Steel & Manufacturing, Inc. 300 Barrel storage bin controlled by Belgrade Steel & Manufacturing, Inc., Model BST 150 Baghouse. Meter-Mix trucks are loaded by a sealed auger/hose; emissions are vented back to the bin						
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
9	9-10-13		iance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. James King (Supervisor) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st		⊠Yes ☐ No			
	Comments: Jeffery Nasse stills the Au	uthorized Representative.				
4	The e-mail address is:					
4.	Is the facility contact still: Jeffery J. Nasse?					
	The e-mail address is:	ишу сописі.				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?						
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]		,			
PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Compliance Demonstration						
_	New Facilities / New Process Equ	uipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.4				
Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No 2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)						
۷.	2. \(\sum \) Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust					
	collector exhaust point within 365 days	(annually thereafter) of the previous visible em	issions			
	compliance test?	Test Reports				
3.	3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No					
	The last visible emission test, conducted on $4/26/13$ resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest					
4.	six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.] Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] \boxtimes Yes \square No					
5.		ne department as soon as practical, but no later				
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] ⊠ Yes ☐ No					
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	es 📙 No			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then	N			
skip to question 9.) \square Year Skip to question 9.) \square Year Skip to question 9.) \square Year Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] \square Year Year Year Year Skip to question \square Year Skip to \square Year Year Skip to \square Year Year Year Skip to \square Year Year Year Year Year Year Year Year				
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from				
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] \square Yes \bowtie No				
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Ye	es 🛛 No			
 a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>n/a</u> % for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? 	es 🗌 No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	8			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? $[62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]$ \square Yob) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages	es 🗌 No			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] 🗌 Ye	es 🗌 No			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons				
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet				
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons				
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Ye	es 🗌 No			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)				
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	es 🛭 No			
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? 🗌 Yo	es 🗌 No			
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	es 🗌 No			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below				
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	es 🗌 No			
DADTIV. Hannefinal Facinism (2.200.414/2)				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				
Which of the following methods are used:	100			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	Yes \(\subseteq No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌 No			

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2) (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) particulate matter from stock piles?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility — □ Yes □ No Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? — □ Yes □ No				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? □ Yes □ No				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? □ Yes □ No				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 10/1/11 through 9/10/13, indicated emission unit in compliance. Mr. King stated the sile has been pregnatic leaded once a month. At the time. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test				

at the time, as no tanker was onsite. Mr. King stated they would not be receiving any more pneumatic loading for the silo today.

I asked Mr. King to contact AQ Division office next time the	ey plan to load silo.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mr	king this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
	2.40.204
Mike Ojo Thomas	9-10-2013
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\A	Approximate Date of Next Inspection QI\1030464 001 87517.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06