

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: All American Concrete, Inc.			DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant			Southwest				
AD	DRESS: 8770 Somerset Drive		CONTACT PHONE:				
	Largo, FL		727-524-8755				
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/8/2015				
	1030464 001	1030464-004-AG	Renewal Date: 4/				
1030404 001		1030404-004-740	Test Date:	4/20/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Portable Concrete Batching: Belgrade Steel & Manufacturing, Inc. 300 Barrel storage bin controlled by Belgrade Steel & Manufacturing, Inc., Model BST 150 Baghouse. Meter-Mix trucks are loaded by a sealed auger/hose; emissions are vented back to the bin							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗆 only one box)					
1	0/14/11	🛛 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Compl	•	Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:		I			
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No			
	<i>Comments:</i> This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. James King (Supervisor) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.						
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still <u>Jeffery J. Nasse</u> ?						
	Comments: Jeffery Nasse stills the Au						
4.	Is the facility contact still Jeffery J. Nasse? Image: Comments: Jeffery Nasse stills the facility contact.						
5.	. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [42-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
	PART II: T	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.	414. F.A.C.				
		x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would i	·	nce)			
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment- (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No							
2.	2. X Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?X Yes No						
3.	<i>Test Reports</i> 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A. <i>C.]</i>	Yes 🗌 No			
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late					
6.	. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] X Yes 🗌 No						
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]					
 8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] 					
 b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] 					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]					
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?					
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance					
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)					
 For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
 b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] 	<u>Yes</u> No				
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons					
 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 					
 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all 	l fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and					
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	Yes No				
 <u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) 	🗌 Yes 🖂 No				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	Yes No				
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
<i>If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below</i> 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at					
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes 🗌 No				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followin 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of $\nabla X = \nabla X$					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chuie, or partial enclosure to miligate emissions at the arop point to the truck?					
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No					
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? X Yes Xes					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? c) Change in ownership					
If any of the answers to $1a$) -1) d is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?					
PART VI: Comments					
<u>O&M Plan</u>					
 The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. 					
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 11/1/10 through 10/14/11, indicated emission unit in compliance.					
Comments, Acrieven records for the months of 11/1/10 intologit 10/14/11, inducated emission and in compliance.					

Mr. King stated business has been very slow. He stated the silo has been pneumatic loaded once a month. At the time.

I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tanker was onsite. Mr. King stated they would not be

receiving any more pneumatic loading for the silo today. I asked Mr. King to contact AQ Division office next time they

plan to load silo.				
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mr. king this emission unit appears to be in compliance.				
Mike Ojo Thomas	10/14/11			
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030464 001 80682.doc				