

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □						
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: All American Concrete, Inc	DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant			Southwest				
AD	<b>DRESS:</b> 8770 Somerset Drive		CONTACT PHONE:				
	Largo, FL	727-524-8755					
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 5/9/10					
1030464 001		1030464-003-AG	Renewal Date: 4/9/10				
1030404 001		1030404 003 110	<b>Test Date:</b> 4/2/00				
		rtable Concrete Batching: Belgrade Steel &	O.				
	rage bin controlled by Belgrade Steel a sealed auger/hose; emissions are ve	& Manufacturing, Inc., Model BST 150 B	aghouse. Meter-Mix trucks are loaded				
Бу	a sealed auger/nose; emissions are ve	inted back to the bin					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
1	0/3/2008		iance; Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes □ No				
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No				
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable						
	regulations. Mr. Jeffery Nasse (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.						
3.	<u></u>						
4.	Comments: Mr. Nasse stills the Authorized Representative.						
4.	✓ Is the facility contact still Jeffery J. Nasse?  Comments: Mr. Nasse stills the facility contact.						
5.							
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would					
Co	ompliance Demonstration	A(cs), if a shaded box is electrical, this would	indicate noncompliance)				
1.	New Facilities / New Process E	<b>quipment</b> — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296 apliance no later than 30 days after beginning o					
2.		esuant to Rule 62-296 414(4)(a) F.A.C. Air Ge	neral Permits)				
	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust						
	collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?						
	•		Yes No				
2	Test Reports						
3.	3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.							
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late					
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No				
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
	Z 100 Z 110			
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] - b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	Yes No			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while bat at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	tching			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of _n/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?	Yes No			
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompl				
1. Is this facility: 1) a \( \subseteq \text{ stationary; 2) a } \( \subseteq \text{ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, } \( \subseteq \text{ stationary and relocatable; } \)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted lor nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	batching plants			
<ul> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]</li> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages</li> </ul>	<u> </u>			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No			
<ul> <li>2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons</li> <li>3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet</li> <li>4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons</li> <li>5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals %</li> </ul>	of all fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least	·			
five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)  1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	Yes No			
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below  2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at				
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompl  1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	iance)			
unconfined emissions	······ Yes  No			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the formula in Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes □ No			
<ul> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operat</li> </ul>				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?				
The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite. The facility uses a sweeper for the yard. The stockpiles materials areas had separate enclosures with sprinklers systems.				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				

# units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility----- $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] ----- \[ Yes \] No Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.1 Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? ------ Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?----- \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes X No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most If the any of the answers to 1a - 1 d is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should <u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? ------ \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No *If the answer is* **Yes**, proceed to a) and b). b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?- ------ Yes No 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? ------- 🗌 Yes 🔲 No

### **PART VI: Comments**

#### O&M Plan

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
  - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
  - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
  - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
  - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 12/01/07 through 10/03/08, records were in compliance.

Mike Ojo Thomas	_ 10/3/08
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI	\1030464 001 66953.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06