

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE:   | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ( ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _   |                        |  |
|--|--|--|------------------------|--|
| <b>AIRS ID#:</b> 1030464 001   | <b>DATE:</b> 10/5/07   | <b>ARRIVE:</b> 1:00 PM   | <b>DEPART:</b> 2:30 PM | _  |
| FACILITY NAME: All   | American Concrete, Inc.  |  |                        |  |
| FACILITY LOCATION  | 1: 8770 Somerset Drive   |  |                        |  |
|  | Largo, FL  |  |                        |  |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFIC  | IAL: <u>Jeffery J. Nasse</u> ?   | PHONE: 72  | 27-524-8755            |  |
| CONTACT NAME: Je   | ffery J. Nasse?  | PHONE: 72  | 27-524-8755            |  |
| REMITTANCE YEAR:   | N/A ENTITLE  | MENT PERIOD: 5/9/05 (effective date)   | / 5/9/10<br>(end date) |  |
|  |  |  |                        |  |
| I ART 1. <u>INSPECTION</u> ☐ IN COMPLIANC  | CE MINOR Non-COMPLE  | -  | on-COMPLIANCE          |  |
| <ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>2. Are emissions from controlled to the end of a rate that is repurally unless such rate is</li> <li>4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)</li> <li>a) Was the batchin b) During the visible duration?</li> <li>5. If emissions from from the silo dust</li> </ul> | sions tests conducted during this sections tests conducted during this sections tests conducted during this section silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) extent necessary to limit visible ensissions tests of the silo dust collect or sentative of the normal silo loads an unachievable in practice? | site visit according to EPA Methodom, and other enclosed storage and consissions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimum eration controlled by the silo dust cons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer the visible emissions test? | 9 (Ref.: Chapter       | <ul> <li>No</li> </ul> |
|  |  |  |                        |  |

| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)  |
|--|
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)  |
|  |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)   |
| 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the   |
| annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) \times Yes \tag No  |
| N E- 200 ('4-1   |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)   |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate:  |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?   |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form  |
| submittal date?  |
| Existing Excilities (normitted pursuant to Dula 62 210 200(4) E A C Air Congrel Domnito)   |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  |
| 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to   |
| the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? \( \subseteq Yes \) \( \subseteq No   |
| <b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  |
|  |
| 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?  |
| test was completed? \sqrt{Yes} \sqrt{No}   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.   |
| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   |
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))   |
| <ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐</li> </ul>  |
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))   |
| <ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing</li> </ul>   |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>             |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>             |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>             |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>             |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)                                      |
| <ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>             |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)———————————————————————————————————— |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒ only one box.)  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————                    |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————                    |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒ only one box.)  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————                    |

| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM   | ENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C.        | (continued)   |
|--|---|---------------|
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))   |   |               |
|  |   |               |
| <u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)   |   |               |
| 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant to  | ake reasonable precautions to control unconfined    |               |
| emissions by:  |   |               |
| a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and  |   |               |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, s   |   | ⊠Yes □ No     |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-<br>emissions?  |   |               |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other particulate matters are supported by the support of the s | aviad areas under control of the aviner/operator to | · Mies III No |
| re-entrainment, and from building or work areas t  |   | Yes □ No      |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w  |   |               |
| particulate matter from stock piles?   |   | ⊠Yes □ No     |
| b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate   |   | ⊠Yes □ No     |
| c) use or spruj our, enuie, or pursual energonic to image.   | to emissions at the trop point to the track.        |               |
|  |   |               |
| DIDENI GREGIA GONDINIONG AND DE GREDVERG   | D 1 (0 040 000(4)(1) 4 D 1 G                        |               |
| PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -   | - Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.                   |               |
| A. New or Modified Process Equipment   |   |               |
| 1 Cinca the last inspection has there been   |   |               |
| Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?   |   | □Yes ⊠ No     |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without r   |   |               |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different substantially dif |   |               |
| recent notification form?  |   | □Yes ⊠ No     |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete   |   |               |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05  |   |               |
| local program office?  |   | □Yes □ No     |
|  |   |               |
| '  |   |               |
| Mike Ojo Thomas  |   |               |
|  | 10/5/07   |               |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print)  | Date of Inspection                                  |               |
|  |   |               |
|  |   |               |
| Inamastan's Ciaratana  | Ammovimoto Data of Nort Inc.                        |               |
| Inspector's Signature  | Approximate Date of Next Inspection                 |               |
|  |   | 1             |
| <b>COMMENTS:</b> See the attached Pinellas County inspection repo  | ort form for additional information                 |               |

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

| FA                                     | CIL    | ITY           | Y: All American Concrete, I   | nc. <b>Per_ID:</b> 1657  | DISTRICT:   |
|--|--------|---------------|---|--|---|
| Largo Plant                            |        |               | Largo Plant   | Southwest  |   |
| AL                                     | )DR    | ESS           | S: 8770 Somerset Drive  |  | CONTACT: James King   |
|  |        |               | Largo, FL   |  | Phone No: 727-524-8755  |
| AR                                     | RMS    | No.           | :   | PERMIT NO.:  | EXPIRATION DATE:  |
| 1030464 001 1030464-003-AG             |        |               | 001   | 5/9/10   |   |
| cont                                   | trolle | ed b          |   |  | l & Manufacturing, Inc. 300 Barrel storage bin ter-Mix trucks are loaded by a sealed auger/hose;  |
| INSPECTION DATE: ARMS INSPECTION TYPE: |        |               | ION DATE:   | COMPLIANCE STATUS:   |   |
|  | 10/5/  | /07           |   | ⊠INS2 or □INS  | ⊠IN □MNC □SNC   |
|  | Typ    | oe o          | f Inspection: ⊠Initial  | ☐Re-inspection ☐Complai  | nt Drive-by Quarterly   |
|  |        |               |   | A. General Review:   |   |
| 1.                                     | . I    | Pern          | nit File Review   | THE GENERAL REVIEWS  | ⊠Yes □ No   |
| 2.                                     | . 1    | Intro         | oduction and Entry  |  | ⊠Yes □ No   |
|  |        | Con           | nments: This is inspection was  | performed in order to determine if this f  | acility has been operating within applicable  |
|  |        |               |   | ager) was present during the facility ins  |   |
| 3.                                     |        | <i>T</i> a 41 | ne Authorized Representative  | ctill. Leffony I. Nocco?   | ∑Yes □ No   |
| ٥.                                     | 1 -    |               | _   | ills the Authorized Representative.  | ∑ Tes ☐ No  |
| 4.                                     | _      |               | ne facility contact still: Jeffery  | *  | ⊠Yes □ No   |
|  | (      | Con           | ments: Mr. Jeffery Nasse is sti   | lls the facility contact.  |   |
|  |        |               |   |  |   |
|  | M      | S             |   |  |   |
| I<br>N                                 | N<br>C | N<br>C        |   | D. C.,   |   |
|  |        |               |   |  |   |
|  |        | П             | The owner or operator of any i  | B. Specific Conditions relocatable concrete batching plant propo   | sing to change location shall submit a Facility   |
|  |        |               |   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De  | sing to change location shall submit a Facility epartment at least 30 days prior to relocation;   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.  | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>]   |   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.  | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De  |   |
| $\square$                              |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>]<br>of a relocatable concrete batch plant.   | epartment at least 30 days prior to relocation;   |
| $\boxtimes$                            |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not the owner or operator of a state operation of, one or more relocation as the concrete   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>l<br>at a relocatable concrete batch plant.<br>tionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci   | partment at least 30 days prior to relocation;  air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the   |
| $\boxtimes$                            |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relosame location as the concrete total combined annual facility  | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>l<br>at a relocatable concrete batch plant.<br>tionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci-<br>wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the   | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the  |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relosame location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>l<br>at a relocatable concrete batch plant.<br>tionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci-<br>wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the<br>10 million tons per calendar year, and the<br>ator of the concrete batching plant shall re-  | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, naintain a log book to account for fuel   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relosame location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material processing the consumption and material processed.   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>last a relocatable concrete batch plant.<br>tionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci-<br>wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the<br>10 million tons per calendar year, and the<br>ator of the concrete batching plant shall recessed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier  | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, naintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relosame location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material processing the consumption and material processed.   | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>l<br>at a relocatable concrete batch plant.<br>tionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci-<br>wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the<br>10 million tons per calendar year, and the<br>ator of the concrete batching plant shall re-  | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, naintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relosame location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material prothe sulfur content of the fuel by the comments: The facility and the comments are considered as the considered | relocatable concrete batching plant propo<br>(DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De<br>late a relocatable concrete batch plant.  Itionary concrete batching plant using an a<br>catable nonmetallic mineral processing p<br>batching plant provided the resultant faci-<br>wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the<br>10 million tons per calendar year, and the<br>ator of the concrete batching plant shall recessed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier<br>being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.  | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, naintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for A.C.]   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of, one or more relocation as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material prothe sulfur content of the fuel by the comments: The facility and general permit Non/a Rev  | relocatable concrete batching plant proportion (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the Definition of the arelocatable concrete batch plant.  It is a relocatable concrete batch plant.  It is a relocatable concrete batching plant using an actable nonmetallic mineral processing plantching plant provided the resultant facing resultant plants is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and that are of the concrete batching plant shall recessed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F. and the state of the concrete operated a nonmerolewed the records for the months ofn/   | air general permit may operate, or allow the lants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, naintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for A.C.]  metallic mineral processing plant on-site under (a_, _n/a_, and _n/a The maximum 12 month)   |
|  |        |               | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility is not operation of a star operation of, one or more relocated same location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material prothe sulfur content of the fuel by the comments: The facility and general permit Non/a Revitotals ofn/a_gallons/year of Pressure relief pop-up value.  | relocatable concrete batching plant proportion (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the Definition of the arelocatable concrete batch plant.  It is a relocatable concrete batch plant.  It is a relocatable concrete batch plant using an actable nonmetallic mineral processing plantching plant provided the resultant facing batching plant provided the resultant facing reliable full usage of all plants is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and that attended the concrete batching plant shall recessed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F. and the state of the concrete operated a normal priewed the records for the months ofn/fuel andn/a tons/year of material p | air general permit may operate, or allow the clants using individual air general permits at the lity contains no additional nonexempt units, the nan 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the ne fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, maintain a log book to account for fuel certifications shall be maintained to account for A.C.]  The metallic mineral processing plant on-site under (a_, _n/a_, and _n/a The maximum 12 month processed.  around the pop-off valves? \( \subseteq \text{ Yes} \subseteq \text{ No} \) |

|             | M | S            |  |
|-------------|---|--------------|--|
| I           | N | N            |  |
| N           | C | $\mathbf{C}$ | B. Specific Conditions   |
|             |   |              | Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions:  (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards.  2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions.  3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter.  4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles.  (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck.  [62-296.414(2)]  Comments: Yard and aggregate piles where kept wet, no unconfined emission observed. |
| $\boxtimes$ |   |              | Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is   |
|             |   |              | unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]  |
|             |   |              | Comments: The last test, on 3/20/07, was conducted at a process rate of 25 tph. Based on that test, the facility process rate was limited to 25 tph.   |
|             |   |              | If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]  **Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper \int are \int are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate.  |
|             |   |              | A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate.   |
|             |   |              | Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.]  Comments: The test should be completed between February and April 2007. The last test was conducted on 3/20/07, and the test results were submitted on 3/21/07.  |
|             |   |              | Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.]  |
|             |   |              | Comments: The last test was conducted on 3/20/07, and the test results were submitted on 3/21/07.  |

| _      | M      | S      |  |
|--------|--------|--------|--|
| I<br>N | N<br>C | N<br>C | B. Specific Conditions   |
|        |        |        | The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:  a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]  **Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 01/02/07 through 10/5/07 indicated emission unit in compliance |
|        |        |        | C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions   |
|        |        |        | Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:  a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  Comments: There have been no changes in the authorized representative at this time. Mr. Jeffery J. Nasse still the Authorized Representative.  |
|        |        |        | Aumorizea Representative.  |
|        |        |        | Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department.  [62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]  Comments: There have been no changes at this time.   |
|        |        |        | A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the  |
|        |        |        | filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.  [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]  Comments: The permit expires on 5/9/10. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 4/9/10. This is not applicable at this time, and not required.  |
|        |        |        | D. Other:  |

| Pollution Prevention Activities Pollution Prevention Activities  ▶ P2 Handouts Provided: ☐ P2 Brochure; ☐ P2 Manual; ☐ P2 Checklist |
|---|
| ➤ Have any emissions reductions occurred  |
| ☐ Chemical Substitution; ☐ Equipment Changes; ☐ Process Changes   |
| ☐ Chemical/Material Reuse; ☐ On-site Recycling; ☐ Other:  |
| Comments:   |
|   |
| Closing Conference \times Yes \to No  |
| Comments: I informed Mr. Jeffery J. Nasse, that the facility emission unit in compliance at this time.                              |
| Other Comments: An AQD VE test was not perform during this site visit, because no pneumatic loading of silo in process at the       |
| time. I told Mr. King that I would like to observe their emission unit next time they plan to pneumatic loading the silo. He stated |
| their business has been slow lately due to lack of business. He stated he would contact our office next two weeks time they plan    |
| to load the silo.   |
| Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division  |
| Signature(s)  Date: 10/9/07   |

CONTACT LOG? \_\_Yes\_\_, ACCESS? \_Yes\_, ARMs? \_Yes\_\_ H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\1030464 001 62180.doc