

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | |
|---|---|---|--|
| AIRS ID#: 1030464 001 | DATE: <u>12/8/06</u> | ARRIVE: <u>11:00 AM</u> | DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: All | American Concrete, Inc. | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | 1: 8770 Somerset Drive | | |
| | Largo, FL | | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFIC | IAL: <u>Jeffery J. Nasse</u> ? | PHONE: 727 | -524-8755 |
| CONTACT NAME: Je | ffery J. Nasse? | PHONE: 727 | -524-8755 |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: | N/A ENTITLEN | MENT PERIOD: 5/9/2005 (effective date) | / 05/09/10 (end date) |
| (check 🗹 appropria | CORDKEEPING REQUIREMI te box(es)) | <u>ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. | |
| 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions frocontrolled to the e 3. During visible emata a rate that is repunless such rate is 4. Are emissions froto to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchib) During the visiduration? | m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), extent necessary to limit visible em issions tests of the silo dust collect presentative of the normal silo load s unachievable in practice? | ite visit according to EPA Method 9 and other enclosed storage and con issions to 5 percent opacity? tor exhaust points was the loading o ling rate, or at least at the minimum ration controlled by the silo dust col ons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is the visible emissions test? | Yes No veying equipment No Yes No f the silo conducted 25 tons per hour rate, 25 tons per hour rate, No Yes No lector? (If answer 'No" then Yes No batching rate and Yes No Yes No out, which is separate outs collector |

| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| (check 🗹 appropriate box(es) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) | | | | |
| 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the | | | | |
| annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Xerrore Compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) | | | | |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate: | | | | |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? \Box Yes \boxtimes No | | | | |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No | | | | |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) | | | | |
| 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to | | | | |
| the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? XYes No | | | | |
| The property (Dulas (2.212.440, E.A.C. and (2.207.210(8)(b), E.A.C.)) | | | | |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) | | | | |
| 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the $\nabla A = \nabla A$ | | | | |
| test was completed? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No | | | | |
| | | | | |

| PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠ only one box.</i>) |] |
| a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? | Yes ⊠ No Yes ⊠ No Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No |
| b) material processed on a monthly basis? | Yes 🗌 No Yes 🗌 No Yes 🗌 No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (*continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

| 1. | Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin | ied |
|----|---|-----|
| | emissions by: | |

| a) | management of roads, | parking areas, stock | c piles, and yards | , which shall include one of | or more of the following: |
|----|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|

| 1) pavi | ng and maintenance | of roads, parking area | s, stock piles, and yards? |) | ⊠Yes |
|---------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|------|
|---------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|------|

| 2) |) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control | l | |
|----|---|------|-------|
| | emissions? | ⊠Yes | No No |

- 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- Xes No
 - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?-----
 ∑Yes
 No

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- □Yes ○ No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- ○ replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------- ○ Yes ○ No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or

local program office?------ Yes No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

____12/8/2006____ Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

No No

COMMENTS: I informed Mr. Jeffery J. Nasse, that the facility emission unit in compliance at this time.

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

| F | ACII | LITY | Y: All American Concrete, I | nc. Per_ID: 1657 | DISTRICT: |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--|---|---|
| | Largo Plant | | | | Southwest |
| ADDRESS: 8770 Somerset Drive | | | 5: 8770 Somerset Drive | CONTACT: Jeffery Nasse | |
| | | | Largo, FL | | Phone No: 727-524-8755 |
| | RMS 103(| | .: • 001 | <i>PERMIT NO.:</i> 1030464-003-AG | EXPIRATION DATE: 05/09/10 |
| con | troll | ed b | | | el & Manufacturing, Inc. 300 Barrel storage bin eter-Mix trucks are loaded by a sealed auger/hose; |
| | / SPE 12/8 | | ION DATE: | ARMS INSPECTION TYPE: \square INS2 or \square INS | <i>COMPLIANCE STATUS:</i> ⊠IN □MNC □SNC |
| 1 | | | | Re-inspection Complai | |
| | I y | je o | f Inspection: | | |
| | | | | A. General Review: | |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | _ | | nit File Review | | |
| 2 | • | Intro | oduction and Entry | | Yes No |
| | | | | performed in order to determine if this j yner) was present during the facility ins | facility has been operating within applicable pection of the emission unit. |
| 3 | | | he Authorized Representative nments: | still: <u>Jeffery J. Nasse</u> ? | Yes No |
| 4 | | | he facility contact still: Jeffery | I Nasse? | Yes No |
| | | | iments: | J. TRUBBO. | |
| | | | | | |
| | Μ | S | | | |
| Ι | N | s N | | | |
| N | С | С | | B. Specific Conditions | |
| | | | Relocation Notification Form [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C. | (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the De | osing to change location shall submit a Facility epartment at least 30 days prior to relocation; |
| | | | The second secon | | |
| | | | operation of, one or more relo same location as the concrete total combined annual facility material processed is less than by weight. The owner or oper consumption and material pro | catable nonmetallic mineral processing p batching plant provided the resultant fac -wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less the 10 million tons per calendar year, and the ator of the concrete batching plant shall be | certifications shall be maintained to account for |
| | | | general permit Non/a month totals of _n/agallons/ Pressure relief pop-up val | . Reviewed the records for the months of /year of fuel and _n/a_ tons/year of material ve(s) – is there a accumulation of cement | t around the pop-off valves? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| | | | Silo housings & duct worl | k – are there any apparent leaks? ☐ Yes | X N0 |

| Ι | M N | S N | |
|---|--------|--------|--|
| N | C | C | B. Specific Conditions |
| | | | Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards. 2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions. 3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter. 4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles. (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck. [62-296.414(2)] Comments: Yard and aggregate piles where kept wet, no unconfined emission observed. |
| | | | Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] |
| | | | If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper are are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate. |
| | | | Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit of days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.] Comments: The test should be completed between February and April 2006 . The last test was conducted on 3/20/06, and the test results were submitted on 3/28/06. |
| | | | Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.] <i>Comments: The last test was conducted on 3/20/06, and the test results were submitted on 3/28/06.</i> |

| Ι | M N | S N | |
|---|--------|--------|--|
| Ν | С | С | B. Specific Conditions |
| | | | The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. |
| | | | Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 11/1/05 through 12/8/06 indicated emission unit in compliance. |
| | _ | | C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions |
| | | | Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] Comments: There have been no changes in the authorized representative at this time. Mr. Jeffery J. Nasse still the Authorized Representative. |
| | | | Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department. [62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.] |
| | | | A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] Comments: The permit expires on 05/09/10. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 03/10/10. This is not applicable at this time, and not required. |
| | | | D. Other: |

| Pollution Prevention Activities Pollution Prevention Activities ➢ P2 Handouts Provided: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| \blacktriangleright Have any emissions reductions occurred \Box Yes / \Box No | | | | |
| Chemical Substitution; Equipment Changes; Process Changes | | | | |
| Chemical/Material Reuse; On-site Recycling; Other: | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Closing Conference XYes No | | | | |
| Comments: I informed Mr. Jeffery J. Nasse, that the facility emission unit in compliance at this time. | | | | |
| Other Comments: An AQD VE test was not perform during this site visit, because no pneumatic loading of silo in process at the | | | | |
| time. I told Mr. Nasse that I would like to observe their emission unit next time they plan to pneumatic loading the silo. He | | | | |
| stated he would contact our office next time they plan to load the silo. | | | | |
| 55 5 F | | | | |
| Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Signature(s) Date: 12/8/06 | | | | |
| CONTACT LOG?Yes, ACCESS?Yes, ARMs?Yes | | | | |
| H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030464 001 57314.doc | | | | |