CHINEREN PROTECTION
San Maria
FLORIDA

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)]
AIRS ID#: 0571235 DATE: <u>2/28/2011</u> FACILITY NAME: TENDER TOUCH CLEANERS	ARRIVE: <u>10:30 a.m.</u> DEPA	RT: <u>11:15 a.m.</u>
FACILITY LOCATION: 7756 W Hillsborough Ave TAMPA 33615-4710		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NURD Email: CONTACT NAME: PEDRO RIVERA Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/31/2006 / 8/31/2011 (effective date) (end date)	DIN KURJI PHONE: (813)877 Mobile: PHONE: (813)290 Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE		MPLIANCE
PART II:FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check \square only one box in A)- Rule 62-21A. 1.Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-3.Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 \le x \le 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 \le x \le 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 \le x \le 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5.Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	13.300 FAC2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) 4. New large area sourceM dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,10$ transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal (constructed on or after 12/9/91)	gal/yr

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 386 gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check ☑ x for each		
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes	🛛 No	□ N/A	
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No		
 Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? 	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with			_		
manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	No No	N/A	
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
 If the facility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u>, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. If the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. 					
A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		```	check ☑ x for each	only one question)	
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No		
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\square	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	🛛 No	N/A	
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	🛛 No	N/A	

6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and			
	after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	Yes	\square	No

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
B. 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🛛 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes Yes	□ No	N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	□ No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		```	check 🗹	only one question)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?		Yes	🛛 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 or	nly one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each que	estion)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No 💈	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No 💈	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \dots	Yes No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	mell or touch) while the	ne
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible le	eaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🗍 N/A h) Stills 🖾 Y		N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbon o	letector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l)		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A N) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Xes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No □	N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed a ☑ Leak log documentation ☑ RO Assurances [Explain other : 	as required? On-site observation other				
Stephen Hathaway and Jessica Lopez	2/28/2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	3 months				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
64.D7.090 machine was reaching the appropriate temperature the readings was reading the wrong temperature gauge, there Realstar RS-640 S.N. 64.A7.071 was not in operation since had broken refrigerant pressure gauges, however, the one in appeared much better than the 10/29/2010 inspection, and the facility had the 2010 dry cleaning calendar on-site, however were not being kept each month). Another follow-up inspect	w-up to WN 2010-0289A. It appeared that the condenser exhaust for the re in the cool-down cycle (<45 F), however, the employee performing efore a higher temperature is indicated in the recordkeeping. The the owner was waiting on parts to fix the door seal. Both machines still operation was meeting the required temperature. The housekeeping he owner said that the floor was re-sealed about 3 weeks ago. The r, not all of the information was filled out (e.g. rolling 12-month totals ction may be required prior to closure of the WN. Due to the hines, we were not able to pinpoint any specific leak sources via				

background perc concentration behind the dry cleaning machines, we were not able to pinpoint any specific leak sources via halogenated hydrocarbon leak detector. We asked the owner to put the still/muck residue, etc. into a sealed container so that perc leaks could be more readily identified.