

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT OFFICE 470 HARRISON AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

November 30, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL bart@southerlandfamily.com

Mr. Bart Way Southerland Family Funeral Homes 100 East 19th Street Panama City, Florida 32405

Dear Mr. Way:

On November 16, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Southerland Family Funeral Home's Machriste Crematory ID 0050073. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Assistant Director

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN	• • •				
AIRS ID#: 0050073 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>11/16/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:45</u>	DEPART:	<u>10:05</u>			
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERLAND FAMILY FUNERAL HOME-NO.SIDE							
FACILITY LOCATION	: 100 E 19TH ST						
	PANAMA CITY 324	05					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEVE SOUTHERLAND PHONE: (850)785-8532 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: BART WAY PHONE: (850)785-5832 Email: bart@southerlandfamily.com Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/8/2010 / 2/8/2015 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTE</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING			(check ☑ only one			
1. Name(s) of facility repr	resentative(s): Bart Way		1	box for each question)			
Brief Notes: I met the facility representative (Wayne Sapp) and was provided access to the facility and the records. Also, the 2012 annual Method 9 VE testing by Southern Environmental Services was observed at the time of this inspection.							
2. Is the Authorized Reprise If no, who is?: NA	esentative still STEVE SOUTH	IERLAND?		⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the faci 3. Is the facility contact st If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	ility provide an administrative till BART WAY?	update within 30 days?	N/A	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
	ting VE test(s) during today's ince authority notified at least 1			☐ Yes			

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndary chmbrs/opacity&temp/NGfired

PA	PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION (check ✓ only one				
		box for each question)			
		DOX 101 Caci	i question)		
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No		
l	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the				
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time				
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	□No		
2.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No		
	Date of last inspection: 2/22/2012	_	<u>~ ~</u>		
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		l.		
1	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No		
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	<u> </u>			
II.	operation? 🖂 N/A	Yes	□No		
l	d. Date of last VE test: 11/16/2012	L 100	·		
l	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	□No		
l	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	Yes	□No		
l	If no, what was the problem (if known)? NA	Z 105	□ī 10		
	If ilo, what was the problem (if known): 11/A				
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑	only one		
		box for each			
		DOX TOT CACI	i question)		
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No		
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? N/A		☐No		
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? N/A		□No		
	<u> </u>				
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 1 % for the highest six minute average.				
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? \square N/A	X X Yes	□No		
?	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes				
		•	´		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? N/A		No		
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? N/A		□No		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of na % for the highest six minute average.				
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? N/A	A∏ Yes	No		
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar				
٠.	15 there may reason to non for a special cost to detri	Yes	⊠No		
	If yes, what reason? NA		<u>~~</u>		
_					
			7		
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one		
		box for each	•		
		_	•		
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:				
	Downwind odor level detected-0 Wind direction - NortheastUpwind odor level detected-0 (1-1	10)			
	Continuous Monitoring Systems –				
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the				
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No		
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence				
	time at $\square 1,800^1 \boxtimes 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No		
(At	pplication or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)					
. ` '					
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?					
1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No			
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	⊠ Yes	ПNо			
3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	Yes	□No			
4) Adjustments	Yes	□No			
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	YesYes	∐No ∏No			
		NO			
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes	ПNо			
e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07 ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)		⊠No			
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic					
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	☐ Yes	∐No			
exceeds 15% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	□No			
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in					
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	∐No			
	/ 1 1 1 1 7	. 1			
PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one			
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No No			
DADEN, ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check ☑	only one			
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each				
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- Yes	⊠No			
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No			

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained	ed in accordance with the ma	nufacturer's specifications?	Yes	□No	
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?			⊠ Yes	□No	
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?				⊠No	
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? N/A Yes b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?					
PART VII: EU INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIA	NCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE		
	Facility Section (continued)				
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND	<u>PROCEDURES</u>		(check v box for each	only one question)	
associated with a change in ow operations comprising the facil. 2. If yes, did the facility provide of the last registration form a. Installation of any new b. Alterations to existing c. Replacement of existing d. A change in ownershill of the any answer to 3a. –	ent or Change in Ownership submittal has there been process equipment? process equipment without ng equipment with equipment et d. is Yes, was a new regist	nber of the facility or authorized representate elocation of the facility or any emissions unit or administrative change at the facility? 0 days of the change? N/A :	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No□No□No□No□No□No□No□No	
C. Mark Sumner		11/16/2012			
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		Date of Inspection			
Mark Sen		November 2013			
Inspector's Signa	ture	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection		

COMMENTS: The previous visual emissions test was performed on 8/5/2011. The result was 0% opacity. The Department was notified on 7/14/2011, and the report was received and reviewed by the Department on 8/29/2011. The 2012 visual emissions test was performed by Southern Environmental Services on 11/16/2012. The highest six minute average was 1% opacity. The Department was notified on 10/30/2012, and the report is due at the Department within 45 days of testing.

As described by the opertator, the crematory is maintained and serviced as needed by B&L cremation systems.

The temperature charts are maintained for all cremations, they have the date, time, and initials of the operator along with tracking the temperature in the crematory. A random review of the charts did not reveal any cremations with a temperature below 1600 degrees F

.

This facility uses Max Pak corrugated boxes and Batesville Caskets for cremations. Manufacturer's certifications were provided for each of the container types to certify that they contain no more than 0.5% chlorinated plastic by weight.

Bart Way, Andrew Currier, and Wayne Sapp are the current staff members certified to operate the crematory.