

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

March 28, 2011

<u>BY ELECTRONIC MAIL</u> <u>bart@southerlandfamily.com</u>

Mr. Bart Way Southerland Family Funeral Homes 100 East 19 Street Panama City, Florida 32405

Dear Mr. Way:

On February 16 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Southerland Family Funeral Homes Machriste Crematory ID 0050073. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Self 11

Sally M. Cooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV						
AIRS ID#: 0050073 DATE: <u>2/16/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:27</u>	DEPART: <u>10:50</u>					
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERLAND FAMILY FUR	NERAL HOME-NO.SIDE						
FACILITY LOCATION: 100 E 19TH ST							
PANAMA CITY 32	2405						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ST Email: CONTACT NAME: BART WAY Email: bart@southerlandfamily.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/8/2010 / 2/8/2015 (effective date) (end date)	Mobil PHON Mobil	NE: (850)785-5832					
Facility Section							
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u>							
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Bart Way		(check \square only one box for each question)					
Brief Notes: <u>I met the facility reprersentative and w</u>	vas provided access to the facilit	ty at the this if this inspection.					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still STEVE SOUT If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	THERLAND?	XesNo					
 If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still BART WAY? If no, who is?: <u>NA</u> 							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	s inspection? 15 days in advance?	☐ Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No					
Note: Part II 2. and 4. are not applicable for this	facility at the time of this insp	ection.					

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndary chmbrs/opacity&temp/NGfired</u>

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		•
1.	after August 30, 1989?	Xes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
2.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	TYes	🖾No
3.	Date of last inspection: $1/27/2009$		
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u> </u>	—
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? X N/A d. Date of last VE test: 1/22/2010	∐ Yes	No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)? NA		
-			
-			
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
		—	
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of NA % for the highest six minute average.	_	_
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	s in any one-hour)	
2	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	XNo
∠.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		⊠No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.		···· · · ·
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🗌 Yes	No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	ards?	
		Yes	🖾No
	If yes, what reason? NA		
	Note: Part II 1. (a)(b)(c)(d) and 2. (a)(b)(c)(d) are not applicable for this facility at this time.		
P /	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	2
1			
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected-0 Wind direction - NA Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-	10)	
	Downwind buor level detected- 0 wind direction - NA Opwind buor level detected-0 (1-	-10)	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- 🛛 Yes	No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	Yes	No
	(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements	🖾 Yes	No
	 all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No ⊠No □No □No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No ⊠No
U.	 (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		No
	 exceeds 15% opacity ?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐No ∏No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremating process begins in the primary chamber? 		□No □No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ion	□No
process begins in the primary chamber? Note: Part IV 1. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at this time.	X Yes	
	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
Note: Part IV 1. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at this time.	(check ☑ box for each	only one

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	No			
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	Yes	No			
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b.	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No			
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		□No □No			
Note: Part VI 3. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at this time.					
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check I only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	JANCE				
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	2			
Administrative Changes:					
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠No □No			

New	or	Modified	Process	Eq	uipment	or	Change	in	Ownership:	
							_			

110	w or woodned i rocess Equipment of Change in Ownership.		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🖾No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🖾No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🖾No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🖾No
	If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee		
	submitted 30 days prior to the change?	Yes	No

Note: Special Conditions and Procedures Parts 2. and 3a-d are not applicable for this facility at this time.

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark San

February 16, 2011

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

February 2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The last visual emissions test was performed on 1/22/2010. The result was 0% opacity. The report was received and reviewed by the department on 2/23/2010. The crematory is maintained and serviced as needed by B&L cremation systems.

The temperature charts are maintained for all cremations, they have the date, time, and initials of the operator along with tracking the temperature in the crematory. A random review of the charts did not reveal any cremations with a temperature below 1700 degrees F.

This facility uses Max Pak corrugated boxes and Batesville Caskets for cremations. Manufacturer's certifications were provided for each of the container types to certify that they contain no more than 0.5% chlorinated plastic by weight.