

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	
AIRS ID#: 0050073 DATE: <u>1/27/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:00pm</u> DEPART: <u>1:40pr</u>	<u>n</u>
FACILITY NAME: GENSIS (formally called MaChriste) CREMATORY	
FACILITY LOCATION: 100 E. 19th St	
PANAMA CITY 32405	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEVE SOUTHERLAND PHONE: (850)785-85	532
CONTACT NAME: Bart Way PHONE: (850)785-8532	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/26/2005 / 2/26/2010 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	:
DADE II. TECTING DECORDIZEDING DECHIDEMENTS Dula 62 204 401 E A C	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	☐ Yes ⊠ No
62-297, F.A.C.)?	□Yes ⊠ No
days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	Myss II No
4. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing	⊠Yes □ No
completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by	⊠Yes □No
volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	⊠Yes □ No
dry standard cubic foot (ft ³)of flue gas, corrected to 7% O ₂ and tested according to EPA Method 5 (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, F.A.C.)?	⊠Yes □ No
5. Was all emissions testing conducted with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended	
capacity? 6. Was CO & PM compliance demonstrated by submission of a test report for an identical crematory unit? 7. Was the Department position of the last formula compliance test?	Yes
7. Was the Department notified at least 15 days prior to the date of the last formal compliance test?8. Was the required test report filed with the Department as soon as practical, but no longer than 45 days after the test was completed?	⊠Yes □ No er ⊠Yes □ No
•	_

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber contains a secondary chamber chambe	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	Yes No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
No CEM monitoring devices are utilized at this facility except for Temperature monitor	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	⊠Yes ∐ No
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes ☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ∐ No
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) \square ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
of of the final state of the st	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	⊠Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes □ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence ting	
@ 1800° F?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	□Yes □ No
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	∐Yes ∐ No
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	□Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes □ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been	□Vos	√N _o	
a) installation of any new process equipment?b) alterations to existing process equipment with		⊠No ⊠No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?			
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent	<u> </u>	□No	
was required, have all operators been retrained to compare 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a			
required, has the owner submitted copies of all ope	erator training certificates? Yes [□No	
a) submitted within the 15 day required window f	following the training? Yes	No	
Gerald Sheehan	1/27/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
Gerald Sheehan		_	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: Mr. Bart Way met me at the facility and provided me with requested records and accompanied me on my insptection of the facility. Mr. Way informed me that the proper name of the facility is GENSIS CREMATORY. A letter from Southern Environmental Sciences states that they have scheduled a Visible Emissions (VE) test at the crematory for February 16 of this year. The previous VE test, which indicated the crematory was operating in compliance with the facilities permit, was performed on 2/22/2008. The unit was not in operation while I was performing this inspection. Chart recording of the creamatory operations indicate that the crematory operating temperature is maintained at 1600 °F. New bricks were installed in the creamatory walls and floor on January 12, 2008. Only human remains are cremated at this facility, plain cardboard containers are used for the cremation. A temperature chart recorder is installed and the chart records indicate that the crematory has been operating correctly.