

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	NT/DISCOVERY ((CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0690019 DATE: 6/20/13 ARRIVE: DEPART:							
FA	ACILITY NAME: CEN	MEX LEESBURG-READ	Y-MIX & BLOCK P	LANT			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	27111 CR 33					
		OKAHUMPKA :	34762-				
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIG BO Email: sbo@cemexusa.com CONTACT NAME: SIG BO Email: sbo@cemexusa.com Entitlement period: 2/12/2009 / 2/12/2014 (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section							
PA	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question)							
	Brief Notes:	() <u> </u>					
2.		resentative still SIG BO?				Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facilist the facility contact st. If no, who is?:	ility provide an administratill SIG BO?	tive update within 30	days?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conduc	eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le				Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo #1(cement) w/C&W cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable preca emissions by:	autions to control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall in paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemic control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas unde owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reparticulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitig particulate matter from stock piles? 	rds?	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dr	rop point to the truck? Yes No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo #2(cement) w/C&W cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage an Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions emissions by:	s to control unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals vacontrol emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under comowner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce particulate matter?	Yes
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop po	oint to the truck? Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo #3(flyash/slag), w/C&W cartridge dust collect subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
2.	Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		NoNoNoNoNo
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-weigh batcher/truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard	<u>ds</u>
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes No Sary to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entraining the stock pile height.	⊠ Yes □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tr	<u> </u>
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No Yes No

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-block plant silo(cement)w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	following: Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes	 No No No No

Emissions Unit Section 7 – CCB Plant-block plant/weigh hopper/mixer w/cart. dust collec subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 12/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: - Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- Yes	□ No□ No□ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes		No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes		No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes		No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes		No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane.		_ \le 1.00?		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes		No
_					
<u>G</u> I	ENERAL CONDITIONS				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		37 -0	\square	NY_
2.	Does the owner or operator:		Yes		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		Yes		No
2	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes		No
3.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes		No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and reloc	hov for each	only one question)		
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ No		
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing l	ocation? Yes	☐ No		
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP I to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP N	a relocation? Yes	☐ No		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days price		☐ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate per a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is n If YES, what was the purpose?	rmit:	☐ No		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No		
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or author associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or a operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change a If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	any emissions units or the facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No		
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes	No No No No No		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the approximation 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No		
Patrick Farris 6/20/13				
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspec	ction			
tation Samo	N/A			
Inspector's Signature Approximate	Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: The facility was well maintained at the time of the inspection.				