

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

160 W GOVERNMENT STREET, SUITE 308 PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

July 2, 2014

Mr. Robert Browne, Owner Pros 4 Clothes, LLC 5 Industrial Park Road Destin, Florida 32541 rbrowne@p4c.gccoxmail.com

Re: Pros 4 Clothes, LLC

Facility ID Number 0910085

Okaloosa County

Dear Mr. Browne:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on June 19, 2014. Based on the information provided during and following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at (850) 595-0662 or via e-mail at <a href="mailto:Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us">Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Dickey

Environmental Manager

Kenneth Dickey

Compliance Assurance Program

Northwest District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

**Enclosures: Inspection Report** 

KD/jw/c



## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	· , —				
AIRS ID#: 0910085 DATE: 6/19/14 ARRIVE: 11:00 am DEPART: 11:53 am							
FACILITY NAME: PROS 4 CLOTHES, LLC							
FACILITY LOCATION	: 5 Industrial Park Rd						
	DESTIN 32541-2719						
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: rbrowne@p4c CONTACT NAME: RC Email: rbrowne@p4c ENTITLEMENT PERIO	DBERT BROWNE .gccoxmail.com	Mol PHO	ONE: (850)654-9900 bile: ONE: (850)654-9900 bile:				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
	PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ☑ only one box in A)						
transfer only, 3 both types, x < (constructed b  3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, 3 both types, 14 (constructed b  5. Ineligible fo	y, x < $140 \text{ gal/yr}$ x < $200 \text{ gal/yr}$ < $140 \text{ gal/yr}$ efore $12/9/91$ ) e area source $\Box$ y, $140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $200 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $0 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ efore $12/9/91$ ) or General Permit $\Box$ t of business/petroleum /	transfer only, 200	< 140 gal/yr 200 gal/yr 0 gal/yr after 12/9/91)  ource				
	olume of all perchloroethylene (was 118.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases made in e	ach of the previous 12 months by this dry				

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹 x for each	•	
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	1 🔲	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	1 🗌	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	)	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes	☐ No	1 🔲	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	ı 🖂 ı	N/A
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)					
If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. If	roce	ed to P	art V.		
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>	with	a refrig	gerated		
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equivalent condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Compust have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>					
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refriş	gerated		
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 🗹		
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes	☐ No	•	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	1 🗌	N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	1 🔲 1	N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□ No	. 🗆 1	N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	□ No		N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	,	

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:  1. Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	🗆	Yes	☐ No	
Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	🗆	Yes	□ No	N/A
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	🗆	Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?			Check 🗹	only one
PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			(check 🗹	only one
	×	be	(check 🗹	only one
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	×	bo Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each	only one
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		bo Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each	only one
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		yes Yes	(check 🗹  cox for each  No	only one question)
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹  cox for each  No  No	only one question)
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No	only one question)  N/A  N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹  cox for each  No  No  No	only one question)  N/A  N/A  N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No No	only one question)  N/A  N/A  N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	(check 🗹 ox for each No No No No	only one question)  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	b	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) whi	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarb	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	raph si	hall satisfy th	ie
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   Stills   Yes   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   Yes   Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed a</li></ul>					
Jennifer Waltrip	6/19/14				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Jennfer Waltup	Prior to June 19, 2019				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

**COMMENTS:** On June 19, 2014, Department personnel conducted an air compliance inspection at Pros 4 Clothes located in Okaloosa County. The Department would like to thank Mr. and Mrs. Browne for their assistance during the inspection.

Records are well maintained and the facility is kept very clean. The machines appear to be well maintained. At the time of the inspection they were conducting preventative maintenance on the machine by replacing the gasket on the still. The second machine was in operation and no odors were noted.

The plant manger was not onsite and therefore unable to demonstrate use of the halogenated hydrocarbon leak detector. However weekly leak checks are documented and the owner assured that the leak checks were being conducted as required.