(check \square only one box for each question) ERAL NTS COMPLIANCE INSPECTION	<u>PROCESSING</u>			
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAIN RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COM	I/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775087 DATE: <u>11/22/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:50</u>	0 AM DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: INDEPENDENCE EXCAVATING				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 Recycle Center Rd				
ORLANDO 32824-8150				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LYNN ROBINSON Email: lrobinson@sesfla.com CONTACT NAME: RAYMOND WIECEK Email: rwiecek@indrec.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/26/2013 / 1/26/2018 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (813)752-5014 Mobile: PHONE: PHONE: (216)446-3772 Mobile: (216)276-0024			
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one b	box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one			

1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Scott Powell, Plant Manager	box for each o	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still LYNN ROBINSON?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still RAYMOND WIECEK?	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	\bowtie Yes \bowtie Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –Diesel engine</u>

(check 🗹	only one
have for soal	~~~~

		box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major, is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grant Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chle and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ity te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, s, Kernite, culite; Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
	 crusher, grinding min, bucket elevator, bert conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.			
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	🗌 Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	No

<u>1 – Diesel engine</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,	
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? Yes	No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>	.10
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operated	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing	
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted	
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line	
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? Yes	No
{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract	
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic	
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface	
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material	
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by	
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to	
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.	
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.	
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?	
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008? Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	
if answer to Question 12 is 140° skip the following questions and go alreedy to Question 20	
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19	
14. Initial Tests:	
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes I	No
	No No
	No
	No
	. 10
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not	
individually in compliance with emissions limits:	
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	
	No
$\{A \text{ ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	
one or more affected EUs.}	
	No
	No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🗌 Yes 🔅 🛄	No

<u>1 – Diesel engine</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	LNo
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? <pre>{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}</pre> 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yes	□No
If yes:		NO
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	L.No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No

1 –Diesel	engine

	VE Opaci	itu I imita		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity l	imit? (See chart below)	Xes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				
Rate: <u>~200 TPH</u> b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Xer YesNo				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity l	imit? (See chart below)	Xes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of 6% for the highest size	x-minute average.		
Rate: <u>~200 TPH</u> b. Was the VE test conducted accordin	ng to EPA Method 9? -		Xes	DNo
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				□No □No
i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet wit				□No □No
24. When was the last VE test conducted a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 stb. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	ubpart OOO, has the El OOO:	U been tested within the past 5 ye		⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device m of design scrubbing liquid flow r	ust be certified by the r ate.}	nanufacturer to be accurate withi		
<i>and</i> b. a device for the continuous measure device has been calibrated on an				□No
instructions? {Note: The monitoring device m pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ust be certified by the n			LNo
a. a device for the continuous measure scrubber and the device has been	ement of the pressure lo calibrated on an annua	I basis in accordance with manual	_	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control em If yes, does the owner/operator mainta			Yes	No
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in complia c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No □No
purpose of exhausting from a building				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through white		N/A	A Yes	🗌 No

	40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section <u>2-Crushing unit</u>

(check 🗹	only one
how for soal	anastica)

		box for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi	ng Plants?	
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ity te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, , Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No □No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	XNo
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No

<u>2 – Crushing unit</u>

 9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
downstream of wet mining operation, backet elevator of bett conveyor in the production inte- downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? 	Yes Yes Yes	□ No □No □No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not	Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

2 – Crushing unit

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
\Box uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	ıg	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
10 Is mot summarian used to control amissions from the EUP		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	LNo
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Ves	No
recorded in the written of electronic logoook as required by 40 Cr R 00.070(0):		
If the FU was constructed modified on reconstructed on on after 1/22/2008 ship the following		
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	🖾No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	No
initial startup of the EU? \boxtimes N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	=	

<u>2-Crushing</u>	<u>unit</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emi		1.1		
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor				Yes 🗌 No
initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through what			/A 🗌	Yes No
purpose of exhausting from a building				
one or more affected EUs.}	, an earlying particula			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		YesNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	YesNo
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes 🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				
a. a device for the continuous measur				
scrubber and the device has been			_	
instructions?				YesNo
{Note: The monitoring device n		nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin + 250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre and	ssure.}			
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the	
device has been calibrated on an				YesNo
{Note: The monitoring device n				
of design scrubbing liquid flow				
24. When was the last VE test conducte a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s				YesNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		o been tested within the past 5	years?	
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?		Yes 🖾No
ii. has the EU been tested utility				YesNo
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				YesNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	🖂	YesNo
Rate: $\sim 200 \text{ TPH}$				X 7 D X 7
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			🖂	YesNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			🛛	YesNo
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	nance with the opacity i	minit: (See chart below).		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?	🖂	YesNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ccess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	🖾	YesNo
Rate: <u>~200 TPH</u>				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			🖂	YesNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	🖂	YesNo
	VE Opac	ity I imits]
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OO	OEU
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed,	

	40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit	ty					
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite;						
	(17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}						
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	 ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes 	□No □No □No				
su	If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.						
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or						
6	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	🖾No				
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No				
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No				
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	XNo				

<u>3 –Screen and conveyor belts</u>

 9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No		
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.				
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90				
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No		
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20				
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No		
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19				
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No □No		
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	Yes	🗌 No		
one or more affected EUs.] b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No		

<u>3 –Screen and conveyor belts</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?
If yes, the owner operator: □ cnducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); □ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(c); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 17.If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A Yes No 18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? N/A Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No [Not: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and s. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No [Not: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.) Yes No [Not: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer is instructions?
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? NA Yes No 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} Yes No and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} No 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? Yes No Yes No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. No
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? NA Yes No 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} Yes No and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No if yes: a.device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} Yes No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes No If the EU was constructed, mod
 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes
 If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the secrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
 If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the secrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? Yes Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} Yes No 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? Yes Yes No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? Yes No b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? Yes No c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. No 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and t
instructions?
 {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? YesNo {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? YesNo If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? King ANo 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? King ANo
 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? YesNo {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? YesNo If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? □ Yes □No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? Presson a . Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? Presson b . Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? C. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Presson 1f the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. O.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes □No 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? □ N/A □ Yes □ No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? YesNo {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? YesNo If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? □ Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} Image: 1.No 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? Image: 1.No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? Yes b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. Yes No 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Yes Yes No 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes No
 {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. } 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? □ Yes □No If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? □ Yes □No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes □No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes □No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Yes ⊠No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? MA Yes No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes □No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Yes ⊠No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? MA Yes No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? No
 the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? Yes □No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Yes ⊠No 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? NA Yes No
 b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? NA Yes No
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? YesNo If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
 c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? □ Yes □No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes □No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Was □ No
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? ☐ Yes ☐No If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? ☐ Yes ☑No 21.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ☑ Yes ☑ N/A □ Yes □ No
 If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes □.No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes □ No
 <i>questions and go directly to Question 24.</i> 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes ○No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes □ No
 <i>questions and go directly to Question 24.</i> 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes ○No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes □ No
 <i>questions and go directly to Question 24.</i> 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? □ Yes ○No 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Yes □ No
 Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? ☐ Yes
 Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? ☐ Yes
 Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? ☐ Yes
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Version No
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? Version No
initial startup of the EU? No
100 100
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? YesNo
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A	Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>11/28/12</u>	_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	∐ Yes	LNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	—	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	XNo
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>~200 TPH</u>		_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🖂 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	LNo
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate: <u>~200 TPH</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	No

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
	e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ------ Yes ...No ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ Xes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X..No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Xes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? 🛛 Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Xes	No
<u>(</u> 27) gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	No

(GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1	1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	XNo
2	2. Does the owner or operator:		
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		L.No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		LNo
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(or to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
4.	 d) A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change? 	mitted	⊠No □No

Norma Ali

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/22/13 and 11/25/13

Date of Inspection

12/31/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Norma Ali met with Todd Clark from Southern Environmental Sciences, Inc. to audit the annual compliance VE test on the crusher emission units. Six emission points were tested on 11/22/13 and before we could continue with the visual emission test, one of the belts broke and the facility had to stop the crusher. According to Mr. Scott Powell, Plant Manager, it would take a few hours to repair it. The test on the remaining points were rescheduled for Monday, November 25, 2013. The first six emission points had an opacity of zero percent. The process rate was ~200 TPH. On 11/25/13, five more VEs were audited, four from emission unit 003, belts and transfers, opacity observed on all four, was zero

percent. The last one was the emission unit 001 Diesel Generator, opacity observed of \sim 5.83%, which is below the permit limit of 20% opacity. Process rate of \sim 200 TPH. The roads were wet, no objectionable odors were noted or particulate leaving the property was observed.