

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775087 DATE: 11/28/2012 ARRIVE: 8:30 AM DEPAR	T: <u>11:30 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: INDEPENDENCE EXCAVATING				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 Recycle Center Road				
ORLANDO 32824				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RAY WIECEK Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/25/2008 / 1/25/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (800)328- Mobile: (216)328- Mobile: Mobile:				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): John Wey/Plant Superintendent Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY WIECEK?	⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	YesNo YesNo			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher

		(check 🗹	only one		
	ł	ox for each	question)		
Te	Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants?				
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,			
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant				
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No		
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No		
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	⊠No		
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,				
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;				
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic				
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,				
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;				
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing				
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining				
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping				
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing				
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)				
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in				
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through				
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building				
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}				
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.				
_	India Ellia L'anna 40 CED anna Continua E (Dardin LC)				
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or				
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ 3 7	□ Nt.		
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No		
0.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ V.	□ Na		
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No		
/٠	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No		
Q	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	res	□140		
٥.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No		
	equal to > megagiums nour (10 toms nour).		□10		

<u>1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	icu	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
-0	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	grinding film of storage off in the production fine:		
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1.C			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	YVI		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	W4L-EU4-1 1'C-14-1 2'C-1	□ 3 7	□ N.
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	∐No
1.0	annual to Organization 12 is "No" ship the following an actions and so dispaths to Organization 20		
IJ	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter conture quetar (equipment including analogues		
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vac	□ No
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	∐No
Τſ	grouper to Organian 12 is "No" skip the following greations and as directly to Organian 10		
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
1 /	Initial Tests		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ 3 7	□ N.
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
١			
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
		_	

<u>1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:	
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	
initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes	No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	
one or more affected EUs.}	
	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?	110
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes	No
	110
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the	
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	
	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}	
and	
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes	No
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 3/25/2011	
	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested utiling each of the past 4 calcular year?	No
n. has the LO occir ested yet within the current calculating year:	110
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? 🖂 Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	No
Rate: 250 tph	140
	NI.
	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 16% for the highest six-minute average.	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) 🛛 Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? 🗵 Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? 🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>250 tph</u>	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 14.17% for the highest six-minute average.	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes	No
<u> </u>	
VE Opacity Limits	
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU	
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed, modified,	
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or	
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%	-
	1
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2—Crushing unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlomand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No□No□No
გ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

2 - Crushing unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

2 - Crushing unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
477 70 (1 777)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		37	□ . v.
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Ш	103	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	· 🖂 ·	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	,		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	☐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No

2 - Crushing unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	//A [Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whe purpose of exhausting from a building one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dsom (0.022 gr/dsof)?	Г	☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en			[Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu scrubber and the device has been instructions?	en calibrated on an annua	al basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's	Yes	□No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro					
b. a device for the continuous measured device has been calibrated on a {Note: The monitoring device is of design scrubbing liquid flow	n annual basis in accordanust be certified by the i	ance with manufacturer's instru	ctions? [Yes	□No
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? <u>8/23/2011</u>			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	subpart OOO, has the E		years? [Yes	□No
i. has the EU been tested durin		ndar vears?	Г	Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a precedent Rate: 250 TPH				Yes Yes	□No □No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to FPA Method 97 .		<u> </u>	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			L	J 103	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			D	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate: 250 TPH	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -		[Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	_	•	D	☑ Yes	□No
	1	,		_	_
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart C	OO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructe	ed, modif	ied,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	or reconst after 4/22/		1 or
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
	<u> </u>		+		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Screen and conveyor belts

box for each question			(check 🗹	only one
Set the Emissions Unit (EU) subicet to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? (Note: "Nonmetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limstone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Mart, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireelay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfare; (17) Plumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barrite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Felaspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		1	oox for each	question)
Note: "Nommetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentionite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Carb	Tc ·			,
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granix Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	2.			
4. Is the EU one of the following? ────────────────────────────────────				
storage bin,				□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☒ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart For subpart I?				
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
 				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [4 "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		•		
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ───────────────────────────────────				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	sul If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	5.			
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?			□ x ₇	
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	_		∐ Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	0.		□ Vas	⊠ No
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	7		☐ 1es	<u> </u>
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	′•		☐ Yes	⊠ No
	8.			∠J 10
	•		☐ Yes	⊠No

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90	□ x ₇	
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
andb. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
of design serubbing riquid flow rate.		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes:	_	_
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20 December 1511 house a second control of the second control of t		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vaa	⊠ Na
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	res	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	s and all enclosed EUs are not							
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:								
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform									
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No				
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanica	lly induced air flow for the							
purpose of exhausting from a buildin									
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , ,	, ,							
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No				
c. Were mittal ragitive emissions fro	m non vent sunding of	germings less than or equal to 770	opacity.						
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EUS	·		Yes	⊠No				
If yes, does the owner/operator maint		•		1 CS	2310				
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through the	2						
		ial basis in accordance with man							
		iai basis ili accordance with man		□ Vas	□ No				
				☐ Yes	∐No				
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	ıın +250						
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}								
and	. 6.1	1							
b. a device for the continuous measu									
		dance with manufacturer's instru		☐ Yes	∟No				
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%						
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}								
24. When was the last VE test conduct									
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		EU been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	No				
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar				_					
 has the EU been tested during 	g each of the past 4 cale	endar years?		☐ Yes	⊠No				
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calend	ar year?		Yes Yes	⊠No				
				_	_				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes	∐No				
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? ————————————————————————————————————					No				
Rate: <u>250 TPH</u>									
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity									
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No				
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is repres	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No				
Rate: 250 TPH	•								
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				⊠ Yes	□No				
	,	,.							
		VE Opacity Limits							
	VE Opa	city Limits							
	VE Opac EU not subject to	city Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	t OOO EU					
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_		ied.				
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modif					
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construction or recor	cted, modif istructed or					
Control	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	constru	cted, modif nstructed on 22/2008					
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construction or recor	cted, modif istructed or					

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \[\] N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(alac 1 57	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ✓ box for each	•
_	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
4 .	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	□No
2	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
DI	ELOCATABLE DI ANT		
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ✓ box for eac	•
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No □No □No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical r operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minutes. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	mber of the facility or authorized representative not relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	only one h question) ⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership?	Yes accement? Yes at is substantially different? Yes egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	NoNoNoNoNo □No
Bill Rhodes Inspector's Name (Please Print)	11/28/2012 Date of Inspection 12/31/2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: The inspector, Bill Rhodes arrived at the facility at approximately 8:30 AM, on 11/28/2012, and met Todd Clark & Neil Currie, both consultants for Southern Environmental Services, as well as John Wey, Plant Manager, for Independence Excavating, to audit a visible emissions test to be conducted on the crusher (EU-002 & EU-003) and a 200 kW diesel engine (EU-001). Eleven 15-minute VEs on the crusher drop-points and one-30 minute VE on the diesel engine were audited by OCEPD personnel due to Southern Environmental having two readers present. The crusher was operating at 250 TPH, and the consultant, as well as OCEPD personnel, had observed opacities of 0%. The diesel engine was operating continuously, and the consultant had an observed opacity of 16% and OCEPD had an observed opacity of 14.17% (6-minute averages), less than the allowed opacity of 20% - passed. Roads were wet, with no objectionable odors or dust leaving the property.