

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775087 DATE: <u>8/23/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:50 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>2:20 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: INDEPENDENCE EXCAVATING	
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 Recycle Center Road	
ORLANDO 32824	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RAY WIECEK Email: CONTACT NAME: John Wey, Plant Operator Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/25/2008 / 1/25/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (800)328-55 Mobile: (216)328-54 PHONE: Mobile:	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): John Wey, Plant Operator Brief Notes:	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY WIECEK? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Tc ·	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty re, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	⊠No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	□No

<u>1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher</u>

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
sub If t	nnswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	inswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	unswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14.	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? 	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>1 –Diesel engine for RAP & rock crusher</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with ema. Was an initial PM stack test perfo		ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?			√A ☐ Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through where } A$				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin				
one or more affected EUs.}	0 7 01	,		
b. Was the EU found to be in comple	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				
a. a device for the continuous measu	•	oss of the gas stream through th	e	
scrubber and the device has been				
instructions?				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•			
and	- -			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conduct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o 🔽 🕶	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		U been tested within the past 5	years? X Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		1 0		
i. has the EU been tested durin	g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	r year?		□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	mar/angrator for this w	nit during this site visit?		⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the on				□No
Rate:	occss rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	□No
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(200 0000000000000000000000000000000000		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the in	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:	•		_	
b. Was the $\overline{\text{VE}}$ test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9? -		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
	VE Onac	ity Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	Ţ
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed	
1	Subpart 000	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	711 UI
			1 2 H PC 4/7//////	
Considerate with a constant and	200/			
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20% 20%	15% 10%	12% 7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2—Crushing unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	NoNoNo
.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

2 - Crushing unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	s ⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Ye	s 🖾No
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Ye	s 🗵No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	s 🗵No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye	s
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startum of the EU?	□ Va	a 🗆 No
	initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}	☐ Ye	_
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye	s 🔲No

2 - Crushing unit

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	П	Yes	□No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vac	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	- Ш	Yes	No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	2		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\boxtimes	Yes	∟No
If yes:			
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		**	
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	\boxtimes	Yes	∐No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		***	
initial startup of the EU? N/A	님	Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	님	Yes	∐No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	님	Yes	∐No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No

2 - Crushing unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particulai	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	anaa with tha DM limit	of 0.05 a/dsam (0.022 am/dsaf)?		□ Vas	□ No
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				☐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7% of	opacity?	∐ Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint	ain and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measur					
scrubber and the device has bee					_
instructions?				Yes Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measure	romant of the compleins	liquid flow rate to the wet com	har and th	0	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					NO
of design scrubbing liquid flow		nanuracturer to be accurate with	IIII ±3 /0		
or design scrubbing fiquid flow	rate.				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? <u>4/23/09</u>			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
 has the EU been tested during 	g each of the past 4 cales	ndar years?		Yes Yes	\boxtimes No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	r year?		Yes Yes	⊠No
25 Was a VE test conducted by the au	way/awayatay fay this w	uit dumina this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□ No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	∐No □No
Rate: ~250 tph	ocess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:			110
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accord				<u> </u>	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
т – т н – т – т – т – т – т – т – т – т		(
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate: <u>~250 tph</u>				_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				<u> </u>	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	-	cted, modif	ïed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	
	220722000	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	31101 F/ A	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
III onici alloctod Dos	2070	10/0		1 /0	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Screen and conveyor belts

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☒ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
		☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ V	✓ N-
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
/٠	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		<u></u>
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/90		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	⊠ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	⊠ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

3 –Screen and conveyor belts

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not				
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:					
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of						
initial startup of the EU?	initial startup of the EU?			☐ Yes	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the					
purpose of exhausting from a building						
one or more affected EUs.}	, 01	•				
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No	
				Yes	□No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?						
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?			Yes	□No		
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta						
a. a device for the continuous measure		oss of the gas stream through the	.			
scrubber and the device has been						
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No	
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 Cs		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	manuracturer to be accurate with	IIII ±∠3U			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	surc. j					
and b. a daviga for the continuous massur	amant of the compleins	liquid flow rate to the wet some	har and th	0		
b. a device for the continuous measur device has been calibrated on an					□No	
				☐ Yes	No	
{Note: The monitoring device m		manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow r	ate.}					
24 When we the lest WE test conducte	d b., 4b.,	40 fo 4h; EU9 4/22/2000				
	4.When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>4/23/2009</u> a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? YesNo					
		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No	
	b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes					
1. has the EU been tested during	each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		∐ Yes	⊠No	
ii. has the EU been tested yet wil	ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes \intNo					
25 XX	/				□ M.	
	25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? \(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \). No					
	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? ————————————————————————————————————					
Rate: ~250 tph	. EDA M. (1. 100			N 17		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				Yes	∐No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>5</u> % for the highest six-minute average.						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) Yes No					∐No	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes					=	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? 🔀 YesNo						
Rate: ~250 tph						
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo					∐No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>6.88</u> % for the highest six-minute average.						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No	
	VE Ongo	itu I imita				
		ity Limits	0.1	000 FH		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	-	t OOO EU	_	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recor	istructed or	or	
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%		
I month arrected Bos	2070	1070	1	. , , ,		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS		only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control		☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \[\] N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONTRACTOR OF CENTER AT BERMIN ET IOIDIT IMV		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
	(check ☑ box for each of	only one nuestion)
	box for each of the control of the c	
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo

b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		No No No No No
ENERAL CONDITIONS	/ 1 · 17	
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or		•
pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□No
		1
The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	*	•
b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□No
permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No □No □No
	a) 275,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane for each consecutive 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? SNERAL CONDITIONS Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator? a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: □ is stationary; □ is relocatable; or □ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? — e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? — e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day following relocation? — e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business days following relocation? — e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business days following relo	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?

<u>CHANGES</u>		(check ☑ only one				
Administrative Changes		box for each question)				
Administrative Changes:	6 114	• ′				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the						
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation						
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin						
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of	f the change?	YesNo				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been						
a) Installation of any new process equipment?		∐ Yes ⊠No				
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		∐ Yes ⊠No				
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa	intially different?	☐ Yes ⊠No				
d) A change in ownership?						
4. If the answer to any question $3a$. – d. is YES, was a new registration	n form and the appropriate fee sul	omitted				
30 days prior to the change?		YesNo				
	0/00/44					
Norma Ali	8/23/11					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
inspector 5 Name (1 lease 1 mit)	Dute of hispection					
	12/31/2012					
	12/31/2012					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next In	enaction				
hispector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next III	spection				
COMMENTS: The Inspector, Norma Ali, met with John Wey, Plant Operator and Todd Clark, Consultant from Southern Environmental Sciencies, Inc. to audit the annual visual emission test on EU 002 Crushing Unit and EU 003 Screen and conveyor belts. EU001 was tested on 3/25/11. When the inspector arrived, was notified that the facility was having mechanical difficulties with the secondary screener and facility personnel will fix it. It took them about 2 hours to get the crusher going. After that, eleven points were tested.						
EU002 Crushing unit Highest opacity observed was 5%, this is less the	an the allowed onacity of 15%					
EU003 Screen and conveyor belts Highest opacity observed was 5%, this is less than the allowed opacity of 10%.						
The crusher was operating at ~250 tph. Crusher HAZEMAG S/N: HU1348; Model APSEA 13130.						
During the test, the inspector, Ms Ali, mentioned to John Wey that one side of the secundary crusher, the sprinklers were not working properly. Mr. Wey explained that a hose in the large screener had a hole, and it was not working during the test. The average of the emissions did not exceed the permit limit for that EU. Mr. Wey will fix the problem during the week. The inspector will come back in the near future to make a follow-up inspection on this.						
Roads were wet, no objectionable odors or dust leaving the property were observed.						