

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)				
AIRS ID#: 7775087 DATE: <u>12/11/2007</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:55 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: INDEPENDENCE EXCAVATING				
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 Recycle Center Road				
ORLANDO 32824				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Ray Wieseck PHONE: (800)328-5531				
CONTACT NAME: Wade Brown PHONE: (407)240-1664				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/5/2003 / 4/5/2008 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY (check ☑ only one box)				
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)				
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)				
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)				

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	∏Yes ☐ No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:	
	∏Yes ∏ No
	Yes No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	_1 tes 110
bin exceed 7 % percent opacity?]Yes □ No
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)? [∐Yes ∐ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	JYes ⊠ No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
	∃Yes ⊠ No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-2	04.800, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	d
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	∃Yes □ No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	∃Yes □ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control dev	vice is:
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	∃Yes □ No
	∃Yes □ No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	∃Yes □ No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	Yes No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	Yes No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?]Yes ∏ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	1
in the production line?	
r r r r r r r r r	110

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Phylo (2.210.300(4)(c)5.n., F.A.C.)	No			
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?				
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?	No			
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date?				
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?	No			
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]				
<u>Facility and/or Equipment Replacement</u> **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facili and/or equipment:	ity			
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	No			
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	No			
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? **d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?				
 Performance/Compliance Testing **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change 				
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance				
test?				

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)					
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	Yes ∏ No				
Process Changes	CS				
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	Yes No				
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	· —				
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the</i> 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	₹ 71 ₹ 7				
	Yes 🛛 No				
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	h).) Yes ⊠ No				
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	_				
Notification Requirements	<u> </u>				
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	Yes No				
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	Yes No				
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes No				
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ☐; 2) stationary ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)					
,	es 🗌 No				
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	es 🛛 No				
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?					

PART	V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Con	tinued)
(cl	neck ✓ appropriate box(es))	
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Of adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed</i>)	
	questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	□Yes ⊠ No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	□Yes □ No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes □ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	∐Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	□Yes □ No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	∐Yes ∐ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
4	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	DV. DN.
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.) a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	∐Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
5	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
5.	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	⊠Yes □ No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	⊠Yes □ No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	⊠Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
٠.	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes ☐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (no	
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If YES , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	□Yes □ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If YES , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	□Yes □ No

PART VI: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral premissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars 					
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area 2) application of water or environmentally safe du emissions?	drop points?nd yards, which shall include one or more of the fos, stock piles, and yards?				
re-entrainment, and from building or work area 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?- 6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip		☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒ No			
	??				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>- Kuit 02-210.500(4)(U)4., F.A.C.</u>				
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		☐Yes ⊠No ☐Yes ⊠No ☐Yes ⊠No			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes □No			
Ilka Bundy	12/11/2007				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 12/11/2008	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: The portable crusher was processing approximately 160 tons per hour. Six new spray bars were added to help control the dust on the crusher. The yard was wet from the water truck. No unconfined or uncontolled emissions were observed. No objectionable odors were detected. This facility crushes concrete material into different size rock. The observed opacity from the crusher was observed to be 4% from the main crusher. The rest of the points on the crusher and convyer belts had zero percent opacity. The 200 KW diesel generator, a non-exempt emission unit, had an observed opacity of 14%. The allowable for a diesel generator is 20%. The facility was conducting the compliance test for permit renewal. A new yellow CAT building will house the 200 KW diesel generator in the near future. The generator is currently inside a white tractor trailer. The facility crushed approximately 21,851.97 tons of material per month, or 262,2234 tons per year. This is below the limit of less than 10 million tons per calendar year. The facility used low sulfur diesel with an annual usage rate of 61,765.60 gallons. This is also below the limit of 240,000 gallons per calendar year.