NUMBROL PROTECTION
Same Care
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI	SCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0250984 DA	TE: <u>12/02/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:40AN</u>	<u>M</u> DEPAR'	Г: <u>11:35АМ</u>	
FACILITY NAME: AM	IARALTO CONCRETE & PUN	MP INC			
FACILITY LOCATION	400 NW 10 Ave				
	HOMESTEAD 33030	)			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: amadoheli@n CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO			PHONE: (305)477-0 Mobile: (786)412-1 PHONE: Mobile:		
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
L					
	<b>RODUCTORY MEETING</b> presentative(s): <u>Miguel Valdez</u>			(check 🗹 🤞 box for each qu	•
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	resentative still AMADO LLIZO	)?		- 🛛 Yes	□No
	ility provide an administrative u till ?				□No □No
4. Will facility be conduc	eting VE test(s) during today's in ance authority notified at least 1:	nspection? 5 days in advance?		Xes Xes	□No □No

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Ready mix concrete batch plant subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>7/27/2012</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	- Xes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	D No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
	(check ☑ box for each	
		question)
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li></ul></li></ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2. Does this facility include:		
<ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul>		🗌 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		🗌 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal pro-275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Vas	
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>		□ No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □         concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following stationary)	(check ☑ box for each <i>ing question 2.</i> )	question)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		🗌 No
<ul> <li>to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(</li> <li>to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?</li> </ul>	[6)]	□ No
<ul> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?</li> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?</li></ul>	ge)? 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	tative not	

associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes

2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------ Yes

a. Installation of any new process equipment? ----- Yes

c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ------ Yes

d. A change in ownership? ----- Yes

b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? -----

4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ------ X Yes

MARUFUL	MALIK
MARUFUL	MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

<u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been

Date of Inspection

12/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

12/02/2013

**COMMENTS:** On December 2, 2013 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness the visible emissions tests. On site I met Miguel Valdez, the manager of the facility. Tony Mazpule, Environmental Consultant, was on site.Bill Arlington and Kaye Arlington, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE tests. VE tests were conducted on the baghouse silo and weigh hopper dust collector. The silo was loaded with cement at a rate of 12 PSI. Facility produces approximately 1000 yards of concrete per month. No fugitive emissions were observed inside or outside the facility.

**REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 10:22 am, Jan 28, 2014 No No

No

No

🛛 No

No No

No No

 $\boxtimes$ 

Yes