

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		· · ·								
AIRS ID#: 0610042 DATE: <u>11/22/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:50</u>	DEPART: <u>13:00</u>								
FACILITY NAME: SHADY OAK PET CREMATORY										
FACILITY LOCATION: 5 5TH AVE										
VERO BEACH	32962-3612									
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: JOHN GIDEON Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/9/2009 / 4/9/2 (effective date) (end of	Мо РНо Мо	ONE: (772)664-0107 bile: (772)559-3665 ONE: (772)664-0107 bile: (772)559-3665								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE										
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	<u>\{\text{G}}</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)								
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOHN GII If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still JOHN GIDEON?	ative update within 30 days?									
If no, who is?: 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least test and the compliance authority notified at least test.										

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Animal Crematory-primary/2ndary chmbrsw/afterburner,75#/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each of	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	☐ Yes	□No
 Manufacturer's recommended capacity:	Yes	□No
 4. Date of last inspection: 5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? 	☐ Yes	□No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐ Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	Yes	□No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	☐ Yes	□No
YesNo c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	rds?	□No
If yes, what reason?		

P	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(c	heck 🗹	only one
		box	for each	question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?		Yes	□No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Caa	le: 1-10 (rromat)
	Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Sca	ie. 1-10 (worst)
2.				
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\Box 1,800^1 \Box 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?		Yes	□No
	(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)		1 1 05	110
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?	_		
	(1) All temperature measurements(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		Yes	□No
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	_ 🗖	Yes	□No
	(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)		Yes	□No
	(4) Adjustments		Yes	□No
	(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	닏	Yes	□No
	(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Ш	Yes	□No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	_	l	
_	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	닏	Yes	□No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic	⊔ allv	Yes	□No
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity			
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	. Ц	Yes	□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	. \sqcap	Yes	□No
_			heck 🗹	only one
Ъ	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES			question)
F	INTIV: SECONDANT COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	00.1	101 04011	question)
1.	If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		1 37.55	□ма
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremater		Yes	□No
	process begins in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
2	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat	ion	l Vac	□No
	process begins in the primary chamber?	<u> </u>	Yes	
-			heck 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box	for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor	ntaine	ers,	
			Yes	□No
	are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	ш	1 05	\\0
	are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials?	L	1 105	140
2	If yes, what other materials?	_	1 105	
2.			Yes	□No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)			
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufa Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame charating If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once db. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 	cedures during startup, acteristics? uring each operating shift?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	ck 🗹 only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	E SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE				
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check b ox for each	only one question)			
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day 	tion of the facility or any emissions uni dministrative change at the facility?	ts or Yes	□No □No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d. A change in ownership?	acement? it is substantially different?	Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No			
Michael Young Inspector's Name (Please Print)	November 22, 2011 Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				
COMMENTS: At the time of the site inspection the facility was of	closed. The unit was still in the building	and the place	looked			

abandoned. The facility is no longer in operation.