

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	
AIRS ID#: 7775075 DATE: <u>12/8/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:30AM</u> DEPART	T: <u>13;15PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: Angelo Recycled materials, Inc.PLANT #2	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2105 Vulcan Road	
APOPKA 32703	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: John Iafrate Email: CONTACT NAME: JOHN IAFRATE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/22/2007 / 3/22/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (352)567-22 Mobile: (813)625-00 Mobile: Mobile:	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	PLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): John Iafrate Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JOHN IAFRATE? If no, who is?:	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –350 TPH crusher and associated equipment (feeders, screens)

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermical (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y c, Gravel; 'alt; ide, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	-	
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	∐No □No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ V	⊠ Na
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
•	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
ð.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

<u>1 –350 TPH crusher and associated equipment (feeders, screens)</u>

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sub If t	part OOO so skip the following questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 11/2/1998		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	⊠No
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 –350 TPH crusher and associated equipment (feeders, screens)</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Y	esNo
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Y	es 🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Y	es No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		esNo
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ You	es 🗀No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		es 🗵No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yo	esNo esNo

<u>1 –350 TPH crusher and associated equipment (feeders, screens)</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}		,			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were initial ragicive emissions from	n non vent bunding op	emily less than of equal to 770	opacity.		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					2310
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				□ Vos	□ No
				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m	-	manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 +230		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and	. 6.1	1 1.0	1 1.1		
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on an				☐ Yes	∟No
{Note: The monitoring device m	-	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte				_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
i. has the EU been tested during	each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		Yes	
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate: <u>250TPH</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ing to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
-					
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Xes	□No
Rate: 250TPH	1			_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		<u>o</u>		Yes	□No
r					
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	ied.
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	
	Subpart 000	_			1 01
G 1 31	2007	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
			· <u></u>		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –200 TPH Trio Impactor (Secondary Crusher)

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		• /
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Xes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 –200 TPH Trio Impactor (Secondary Crusher)

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 11/2/1998		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
<u>If</u>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 –200 TPH Trio Impactor (Secondary Crusher)

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

3 –200 TPH Trio Impactor (Secondary Crusher)

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		🛭 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliant.	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
e. Were initial ragitive emissions from	in non-vent bunding ope	chings less than of equal to 770	spacity.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the FII?			Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain				1 cs	ZJ10
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				□ v	□ Na
				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n		nanufacturer to be accurate with	11n +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and	. 6.1	1 1.61			
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on ar				∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte				_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
 has the EU been tested during 	geach of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		⊠ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	\boxtimes No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	□No
Rate: <u>200TPH</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest	six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
•	•				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate: 200TPH	•			_	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		C		Yes	□No
r		(,-			
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	: 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modif	ied,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	-
	~	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	arter 7/2	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Symons Construction (Tertiary Crusher)

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		No
4.	Is the EU one of the following? ☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,	⊠ Yes	□No
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
_		☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	1Cs	∠⊿ 10
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	⊠No

<u>4 –Symons Construction (Tertiary Crusher)</u>

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sul If t	inswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 11/2/1998 Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	inswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		Z10
	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	nnswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14.	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>4 –Symons Construction (Tertiary Crusher)</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	- 🔲	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
andb. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	0		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vac	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	108	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design scrubbing fiquid flow fate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		Yes Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No□No□No□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No

<u>4 –Symons Construction (Tertiary Crusher)</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanical	lly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	☐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en		·		Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measure scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre			. = 0		
andb. a device for the continuous measu	rament of the comphise	r liquid flow rate to the west some	hhar and the	2	
device has been calibrated on a				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r				L Tes	NO
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate wit	IIII +3 70		
or design serubbing riquid from	rate. j				
24. When was the last VE test conducte					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	EU been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar					
i. has the EU been tested during				Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes Yes	⊠No
25 Was a VIE tost conducted by the con	/ fo fo 41.:	i4 Ji a 4h.ia ai4aiai49		∇ v	□ Na
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes	∐No
	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo				
Rate: 200TPH	Part EDA Mada 100			⊠ Yes	□ N.
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.					∐No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				⊠ Yes	□No
Rate: 200TPH					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		_		Yes	□No
r		(
	VE On a	.:			
		Subport OOO FIL	Cubnaut	OOO EII	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	rt OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed o	n or
1		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20% 20%	15% 10%		12% 7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \square\ N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility have recorded to about that it does not have the retartial to smith		only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes	No No No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No
11 125, what other general permit units of activities.		

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ☐ Yes	□No□No□No□No□No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	•
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	□No
3	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	∑ Yes	□No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☒ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	(check ☑ box for each	•
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		⊠No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	⊠ Yes	□No

 CHANGES Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes	•
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment tha d) A change in ownership?	Yes acement?	□No□No□No□No
Assefa Hailemariam Inspector's Name (Please Print)	12/8/2010 Date of Inspection ~12/2011	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: The inspectors, Assefa Hailemariam and Bill Rhodes, met with the consultants from Southern Environmental Services, on December 8, 2010, to conduct the annual compliance test on the concrete crusher. This crushing unit has a primary crusher (EU001), a secondary crusher (EU003), and a tertiary crusher (EU004). The crusher uses electric as the power source. The 800KW diesel generator is no longer used and this emission unit is now inactive in ARMS. On this date, nine visible emission tests were conducted on the crusher and associated equipment. The observed opacity for all points was zero percent and the crushing rate was 200 to 250TPH. During the inspection, no PM was leaving the property, no odors were noted and the roads and yard were very wet.