

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 31, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL Brian.Schreiber@andersoncolumbia.com

Brian Schreiber A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056-1829

Dear Mr. Schreiber:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775067**. Your facility permit **expires on May 15, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Maclane Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Victor Keisker, AMGI (<u>Victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com</u>),(<u>divickeister@att.net</u>)
Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle; Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/	DISCOVERY (CI)		
ΑI	RS ID#: 7775067 DA	TE: <u>3/29/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPAR	RT:	
FA	CILITY NAME: AM	IGI PLANT #22				
FA	CILITY LOCATION	1800 BRICKYAR	D RD E			
		MIDWAY 32343	3			
CC	VNER/AUTHORIZE Email: DNTACT NAME: C. Email: TITLEMENT PERIC		/2013	PHONE: (386)752- Mobile: PHONE: (850)575- Mobile:		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
1.	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u>G</u>		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still BRIAN SC	HREIBER?		Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administra till CASEY PETERSON?				□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compliant	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le	ay's inspection? ast 15 days in advance?		Yes Yes Yes	⊠No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-Silo #1(cement) w/silotop baghouse - 114 T subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/18/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each of	•
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  1. Does the conveyor or of the concrete batching plant take researchle presenting to control uncertainty.	finad	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfemissions by:</li> </ol>	imed	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	L Yes	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

~	ONE DATE OF CONTRACT PRODUCT OF LIGHT AND		1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation of monthly fuel consumments. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumments.	ne/yr	17
4.	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	s	□ No
	permit and Department rules?	📙 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check	
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ⊠; or consisting of both s		box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If	only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Nes	⊠ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- <u> </u>	<b>△</b> 140
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		☐ Yes	□ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica			∐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a		mit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp		)?□ Yes	⊠ No
If YES, what was the purpose?	_	). <u> </u>	Z 110
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		TYes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		- Yes	☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:		box for each	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir			⊠ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of			□ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?			⊠ No ⊠ No
<ul><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substant</li></ul>			⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?			⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	n form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	
30 days prior to the change?		- Yes	☐ No
Tracy White	3/29/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
I may Entire			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	
COMMENTS: The gate was locked, no activity was noted and I did	not enter the facility. From the gate	e, no changes t	o equipment
were noted.			
II			