

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle NE Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

November 3, 2009

SENT VIA E-MAIL <u>ScottC@andersoncolumbia.com</u> <u>Victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com</u> <u>keister@comcast.net</u>

Scott R. Cleveland, P.G. Environmental Manager A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056-1829

Dear Mr. Cleveland:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775067**. Your facility permit **expires on May 15, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **<u>In-Compliance</u>** for your facility. Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlan Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Erica Mitchell, FDEP

COMPTOL MOTECTION
Str. Harry
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DIS RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAIN	
AIRS ID#: 7775067 DATE: <u>10/27/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:20</u>	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: AMGI PLANT #22	
FACILITY LOCATION: 1800 BRICKYARD RD E	
MIDWAY 32343	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BRIAN SCHREIBER P	<b>PHONE:</b> (386)752-7585
CONTACT NAME: P	HONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/15/2008 / 5/15/2013 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE         MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.4 (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	414, F.A.C.
<ul> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to E 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	□Yes       □ No         orage and conveying equipment       □Yes       □ No         bacity?       □Yes       □ No         the loading of the silo conducted       the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,          □Yes       □ No         e silo dust collector? (If answer       ∨. If answer is "No" then          □Yes       □ No         st?       □Yes       □ No         of the normal batching rate and       □Yes       □ No         a dust collector, which is separate       □ No       □ No         pper (batcher) dust collector       □       □

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? [Yes ] No		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  [Yes ] No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to		
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)		
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No		

### PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
-------------------------------	--

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check Zonly one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i> )	ing □Yes ⊠No □Yes □No
	<ul> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li> </ul>	YesNoYesNoYesNo
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xyes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes 🗌 No

#### 

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

I may white

Inspector's Signature

10/27/2009

Date of Inspection

6-12 months

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I met with Merideth Brown in the main office. Office staff mentioned that the plant volume has been low and it was only operated once during the month of October 2009. Afterwards I observed the facility. It was not in operation. Facility equipment consisted of three silos with associated filter units and one batcher (droppoint) baghouse. The batch drop point curtain appeared to need repair or additional curtain replacement in some areas. No other issues noted.

No changes or additions to equipment was noted. The last compliance test was on 7/08/2009.