

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1050323 DATE: <u>07282010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0804</u>	DEPART: <u>0845</u>			
FACILITY NAME: DAVENPORT FACILITY-H	IUMAN/ANIMAL CREMATOR				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 122 N STATE ST					
DAVENPORT 3	33837-4001				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:	JOSEPH LOCKE PHO	<b>ONE:</b> (863)421-7773			
CONTACT NAME: LARRY LOCKE	PHO	ONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/5/2010 / 4/5/2010 (effective date) (end of					
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATE	US (check ✓ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	<u> </u>	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		box for each question)			
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOSEPH L If no, who is?:	OCKE?	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still LARRY LOCKE? If no, who is?:	ative update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during todal If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?	YesNo YesNo			

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs,LPG fired 200#/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
	box for each	question
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		
after August 30, 1989?	Yes	□No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	_	
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	☐ Yes	□No
2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	=	=
	☐ Yes	∐No
3. Date of last inspection:		
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		<u></u> No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	☐No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation?	☐ Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test:	_	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	☐ Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?	. 100	
If no, what was the problem (if known):		
PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check ☑	1 ono
TANAMAN MARKANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA		only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- Yes	□No
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		No
		=
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Yes	∐No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	_	
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute.	s in any one-hour)	,
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	- Yes	□No
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		□No
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	. 🗀 100	□,∨
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	□No
		□140
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa		□ <sub>M-</sub> □
	Yes	□No
If yes, what reason?		
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	/ 1 .1. <b>.7</b>	1
PART III; MUNITURING/RECURDREET ING REQUIREMENTS	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	box for each	question)
1 VV 4b b to attenuable adam detected?	□ <b>v</b> <sub>25</sub>	□ Mo
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- Yes	□No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	****	
Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	_	
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	· Yes	□No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
time at $\square$ 1,800 <sup>1</sup> $\square$ 1,600 <sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?	Yes	□No
(Application or initial notification: \(^1\) received on or after 8/30/89; \(^2\) received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
TIME IN MOTITION OF THE COMMISSION (Commissed)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Yes	□No
3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	Yes	□No
4) Adjustments	∐ Yes	∐No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐ Yes	∐No □ No
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐ Yes	∐No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	∐ Yes	∐No
e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical	Yes	∐No
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	ПNо
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	∐No
	_	_
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check <b>✓</b>	only one
	box for each	question)
1. If the small action to accordance to the PEFORE Assessed 20, 1090 is the		
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati		
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremati	on	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
		□No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	7
	☐ Yes	only one
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	only one
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	☐ Yes	only one
process begins in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	only one
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS  1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes  (check ☑ box for each	only one question)
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS  1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes  (check ☑ box for each	only one question)
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS  1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes  (check ☑ box for each	only one question)

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		only one n question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- Yes	□No			
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?		□No			
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- Yes	□No			
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		□No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	IANCE				
	LIMITEL				
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check <b>✓</b> box for eac	•			
Administrative Changes:					
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	its or - 🔲 Yes	□No □No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?		□No □No □No □No □No			
If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change?	- Yes	□No			
Joseph V Panetta 07/28/2010					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection				

**COMMENTS:** Visited facility to audit 3 VE tests to be performed at 0830. I went to front door and rang the bell, no answer and the door was locked, deadbolt in place. Went around back to see if anyone was there. Rang door bell, no answer and again the door was locked, deadbolt in place. Upon returning to the office I received an email at 1030am stating the test was cancelled by Southern Environmental Consultants by email on July 27, 2010@ 4pm.